



Ad: Study [discontinuous analysis](#), an enhanced calculus in which every function is both differentiable and integrable.

Ad: [World-best general purpose programming language](#). You won't like Python anymore.

Ad: [Donate for science](#).

**Algebraic General Topology. Volume 2 partial  
draft**

Victor Porton

*Email address:* [porton@narod.ru](mailto:porton@narod.ru)

*URL:* <http://www.mathematics21.org>

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54J05, 54A05, 54D99, 54E05, 54E15,  
54E17, 54E99

*Key words and phrases.* algebraic general topology, quasi-uniform spaces,  
generalizations of proximity spaces, generalizations of nearness spaces,  
generalizations of uniform spaces

ABSTRACT. Partial rough draft of volume 2 of Algebraic General Topology  
book. This volume is meant to contain materials which refer to more advanced  
prerequisites than plain ZFC (such as category theory and classical pointfree  
topology). This is a **very** rough draft.

# Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction	7
Chapter 2. Products in dagger categories with complete ordered Hom-sets	9
1. General product in partially ordered dagger category	9
2. On duality	13
3. Dual products	14
4. Applying this to the theory of functors and relicts	14
5. Initial and terminal objects	15
6. Canonical product and subatomic product	15
7. Further plans	16
8. Cartesian closedness	16
9. Is category $\mathbf{Rld}$ cartesian closed?	20
Chapter 3. Equalizers and co-Equalizers in Certain Categories	21
1. Equalizers	21
2. Co-equalizers	21
3. Rest	22
Chapter 4. Categories of filters	23
Chapter 5. Power of filters	25
1. Germs of functions	25
2. Power of filters	26
Chapter 6. Matters related to tensor product	27
Chapter 7. Mappings between endofunctors and topological spaces	29
Chapter 8. Functors as closed sets	32
Chapter 9. Categories related with functors	33
1. Draft status	33
2. Topic of this article	33
3. Category of continuous morphisms	33
4. Definition of the categories	34
5. Isomorphisms	34
6. Direct products	35
Chapter 10. Product of functors over a filter	37
1. More on product of relicts	38
Chapter 11. Compact functors	39
1. The rest	39
Chapter 12. Pointfree functors as a generalization of frames	43
1. Definitions	43
2. Postface	44

CONTENTS

5

Chapter 13. Singularities	45
1. Singularities funcoids: some special cases	45
2. Using plain funcoids	45
3. Singularities funcoids: special cases proof attempts	46
Bibliography	48

This file is a rough draft.  
It is a continuation of [\[5\]](#).

## CHAPTER 1

### Introduction

I remind some definitions from volume 1 [5].

I denote a set definition like  $\left\{ \frac{x \in A}{P(x)} \right\}$  instead of customary  $\{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$  (in order to reduce formulas size).

I denote partial order as  $\sqsubseteq$ . I denote lattice operations as  $\sqcap$ ,  $\sqcup$ ,  $\sqcap$ ,  $\sqcup$ .

The following generalizes monovalued morphisms in category **Rel**.

Let Hom-sets be complete lattices.

DEFINITION 2111. A morphism  $f$  of a partially ordered category is *metamonovalued* when  $(\sqcap G) \circ f = \sqcap_{g \in G} (g \circ f)$  whenever  $G$  is a set of morphisms with a suitable source and destination.

DEFINITION 2112. A morphism  $f$  of a partially ordered category is *metainjective* when  $f \circ (\sqcap G) = \sqcap_{g \in G} (f \circ g)$  whenever  $G$  is a set of morphisms with a suitable source and destination.

OBVIOUS 2113. Metamonovaluedness and metainjectivity are dual to each other.

DEFINITION 2114. A morphism  $f$  of a partially ordered category is *metacomplete* when  $f \circ (\sqcup G) = \sqcup_{g \in G} (f \circ g)$  whenever  $G$  is a set of morphisms with a suitable source and destination.

DEFINITION 2115. A morphism  $f$  of a partially ordered category is *cometacomplete* when  $(\sqcup G) \circ f = \sqcup_{g \in G} (g \circ f)$  whenever  $G$  is a set of morphisms with a suitable source and destination.

Let now Hom-sets be meet-semilattices.

DEFINITION 2116. A morphism  $f$  of a partially ordered category is *weakly metamonovalued* when  $(g \sqcap h) \circ f = (g \circ f) \sqcap (h \circ f)$  whenever  $g$  and  $h$  are morphisms with a suitable source and destination.

DEFINITION 2117. A morphism  $f$  of a partially ordered category is *weakly metainjective* when  $f \circ (g \sqcap h) = (f \circ g) \sqcap (f \circ h)$  whenever  $g$  and  $h$  are morphisms with a suitable source and destination.

Let now Hom-sets be join-semilattices.

DEFINITION 2118. A morphism  $f$  of a partially ordered category is *weakly metacomplete* when  $f \circ (g \sqcup h) = (f \circ g) \sqcup (f \circ h)$  whenever  $g$  and  $h$  are morphisms with a suitable source and destination.

DEFINITION 2119. A morphism  $f$  of a partially ordered category is *weakly cometacomplete* when  $(g \sqcup h) \circ f = (g \circ f) \sqcup (h \circ f)$  whenever  $g$  and  $h$  are morphisms with a suitable source and destination.

OBVIOUS 2120.

1°. Metamonovalued morphisms are weakly metamonovalued.

2°. Metainjective morphisms are weakly metainjective.

3°. Metacomplete morphisms are weakly metacomplete.

4°. Co-metacomplete morphisms are weakly co-metacomplete.

DEFINITION 2121. For a partially ordered dagger category I will call *monovalued* morphism such a morphism  $f$  that  $f \circ f^\dagger \sqsubseteq 1_{\text{Dst } f}$ .

DEFINITION 2122. For a partially ordered dagger category I will call *entirely defined* morphism such a morphism  $f$  that  $f^\dagger \circ f \sqsupseteq 1_{\text{Src } f}$ .

DEFINITION 2123. For a partially ordered dagger category I will call *injective* morphism such a morphism  $f$  that  $f^\dagger \circ f \sqsubseteq 1_{\text{Src } f}$ .

DEFINITION 2124. For a partially ordered dagger category I will call *surjective* morphism such a morphism  $f$  that  $f \circ f^\dagger \sqsupseteq 1_{\text{Dst } f}$ .

REMARK 2125. It is easy to show that this is a generalization of monovalued, entirely defined, injective, and surjective functions as morphisms of the category **Rel**.

OBVIOUS 2126. “Injective morphism” is a dual of “monovalued morphism” and “surjective morphism” is a dual of “entirely defined morphism”.



## Products in dagger categories with complete ordered Hom-sets

FiXme: This is a rough draft. It is not yet checked for errors.

NOTE 2127. What I previously denoted  $\coprod F$  is now denoted  $\bigoplus_{\square}^{\text{proj}} F$  (and likewise for  $\coprod$ ). The other draft chapters referring to this chapter may be not yet updated.

PROPOSITION 2128. FiXme: Should we move this to volume 1?

- 1°. Every entirely defined monovalued morphism is metamonovalued and metacomplete.
- 2°. Every surjective injective morphism is metainjective and co-metacomplete.

PROOF. Let's prove the first (the second follows from duality):

Let  $f$  be an entirely defined monovalued morphism.

$(\prod G) \circ f \sqsubseteq \prod_{g \in G} (g \circ f)$  by monotonicity of composition.

Using the fact that  $f$  is monovalued and entirely defined:

$$\left( \prod_{g \in G} (g \circ f) \right) \circ f^\dagger \sqsubseteq \prod_{g \in G} (g \circ f \circ f^\dagger) \sqsubseteq \prod G;$$

$$\prod_{g \in G} (g \circ f) \sqsubseteq \left( \prod_{g \in G} (g \circ f) \right) \circ f^\dagger \circ f \sqsubseteq (\prod G) \circ f.$$

So  $(\prod G) \circ f = \prod_{g \in G} (g \circ f)$ .

Let  $f$  be a entirely defined monovalued morphism.

$f \circ (\sqcup G) \supseteq \sqcup_{g \in G} (f \circ g)$  by monotonicity of composition.

Using the fact that  $f$  is entirely defined and monovalued:

$$f^\dagger \circ \left( \sqcup_{g \in G} (f \circ g) \right) \supseteq \sqcup_{g \in G} (f^\dagger \circ f \circ g) \supseteq \prod G;$$

$$\sqcup_{g \in G} (f \circ g) \supseteq f \circ f^\dagger \circ \sqcup_{g \in G} (f \circ g) \supseteq f \circ (\sqcup G).$$

So  $f \circ (\sqcup G) = \sqcup_{g \in G} (f \circ g)$ . □

### 1. General product in partially ordered dagger category

To understand the below better, you can restrict your imagination to the case when  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category **Rel**.

**1.1. Products.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a dagger category, each Hom-set of which is a complete lattice (having order agreed with the dagger).

We will designate some morphisms as *principal* and require that principal morphisms are both metacomplete and co-metacomplete. (For a particular example of the category **Rel**, all morphisms are considered principal.)

Let  $\prod^{(Q)} X$  be an object for each indexed family  $X$  of objects.

Let  $\pi$  be a partial function mapping elements  $X \in \text{dom } \pi$  (which consists of small indexed families of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ ) to indexed families  $\prod^{(Q)} X \rightarrow X_i$  of principal morphisms (called *projections*) for every  $i \in \text{dom } X$ .

We will denote particular projections as  $\pi_i^X$ .

DEFINITION 2129. If  $\pi$  is defined at  $\lambda j \in n : \text{Src } F_j$  and  $\lambda j \in n : \text{Dst } F_j$ , then

$$\bigcirc_{\sqcap}^{\text{proj}} F = \prod_{i \in \text{dom } F} ((\pi_i^{\text{Dst} \circ F})^\dagger \circ F_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{Src} \circ F}).$$

If  $F_i : Y \rightarrow X_i$  for all  $i$  for some object  $Y$ :

$$\prod_{\sqcap}^{\text{proj}} F = \prod_{i \in \text{dom } F} ((\pi_i^{\text{Dst} \circ F})^\dagger \circ F_i).$$

If  $F_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$  for all  $i$  for some object  $Y$ :

$$\prod_{\sqcup}^{\text{proj}} F = \bigsqcup_{i \in \text{dom } F} (F_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{Src} \circ F}).$$

REMARK 2130.

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_i^{\text{Dst} \circ F})^\dagger \circ F_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{Src} \circ F} &\in \text{Hom} \left( \prod_{j \in n}^{\text{(Q)}} \text{Src } F_j, \prod_{j \in n}^{\text{(Q)}} \text{Dst } F_j \right); \\ (\pi_i^{\text{Dst} \circ F})^\dagger \circ F_i &\in \text{Hom} \left( Y, \prod_{j \in n}^{\text{(Q)}} \text{Dst } F_j \right); \\ F_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{Src} \circ F} &\in \text{Hom} \left( \prod_{j \in n}^{\text{(Q)}} \text{Src } F_j, Y \right). \end{aligned}$$

are properly defined and have the same sources and destination (whenever  $i \in \text{dom } F$  is), thus the meet in the formulas is properly defined.

REMARK 2131. Thus, for example,

$$F_0 \circ_{\sqcap}^{\text{proj}} F_1 = ((\pi_0^{\text{Dst } F_0, \text{Dst } F_1})^\dagger \circ F_0 \circ \pi_0^{\text{Src } F_0, \text{Src } F_1}) \sqcap ((\pi_1^{\text{Dst } F_0, \text{Dst } F_1})^\dagger \circ F_1 \circ \pi_1^{\text{Src } F_0, \text{Src } F_1})$$

that is product is defined by a pure algebraic formula.

LEMMA 2132.  $F \mapsto \bigsqcup_{i \in \text{dom } F} \phi(F_i)$  for ordinal variadic  $F$  is infinitely associative for any function  $\phi$  defined on all values  $F_i$ .

PROOF. I will denote  $t(F) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \text{dom } F} \phi(F_i)$ . We need to prove:

$$t(t \circ S) = t(\text{concat } S). \quad t(\text{concat } S) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \text{dom}(\text{concat } S)} \phi((\text{concat } S)_i) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \text{dom}(\text{uncurry}(S))} \phi((\text{uncurry}(S))_i).$$

$$t(t \circ S) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \text{dom } S} \phi(tS_i) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \text{dom } S} \bigsqcup_{j \in \text{dom } F} \phi((S_i)_j).$$

So, obviously  $t(t \circ S) = t(\text{concat } S)$ .

$t(\llbracket x \rrbracket) = x$ . Obvious. □

COROLLARY 2133. All three above defined products are infinitely associative for ordinal variadic families  $F$ .

PROOF. An obvious consequence taking into account duality. □

$$\text{PROPOSITION 2134. } \bigcirc_{\sqcap}^{\text{proj}} F = \max \left\{ \frac{\Phi \in \text{Hom} \left( \prod_{j \in n}^{\text{(Q)}} \text{Src } F_j, \prod_{j \in n}^{\text{(Q)}} \text{Dst } F_j \right)}{\forall i \in n: \Phi \sqsubseteq (\pi_i^{\text{Dst} \circ F})^\dagger \circ F_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{Src} \circ F}} \right\}.$$

PROOF. By definition of meet on a complete lattice. □

THEOREM 2135. Let  $\pi_i^X$  be metamonovaled morphisms. Let  $I$  be an index set. If  $S \in \mathcal{P} \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(A_i, B_i)$  for some objects  $A_i, B_i$  where  $i \in I$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{f \in S} \bigcirc_{\square}^{\text{proj}} f &= \bigcirc_{\square} \prod_{i \in I} f_i = \bigcirc_{\square} \prod_{i \in I} \text{Pr}_i S; \\ \prod_{f \in S} \prod_{\square}^{\text{proj}} f &= \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{f \in S} f_i = \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{\square} \text{Pr}_i S; \\ \prod_{f \in S} \prod_{\square}^{\text{proj}} f &= \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{f \in S} f_i = \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{\square} \text{Pr}_i S. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let us consider for example the first formula (two others are similar):

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{f \in S} \bigcirc_{\square}^{\text{proj}} = \\ & \prod_{f \in S} \prod_{i \in I} ((\pi_i^{\text{Dst} \circ f_i})^\dagger \circ f_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{Src} \circ f_i}) = \\ & \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{f \in S} ((\pi_i^{\text{Dst} \circ f_i})^\dagger \circ f_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{Src} \circ f_i}) = \\ & \prod_{i \in I} ((\pi_i^{\text{Dst} \circ f_i})^\dagger \circ \prod_{f \in S} f_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{Src} \circ f_i}) = \\ & \bigcirc_{\square} \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{f \in S} f_i = \\ & \bigcirc_{\square} \prod_{i \in I} \text{Pr}_i S. \end{aligned}$$

□

COROLLARY 2136.

- 1°.  $(a_0 \bigcirc_{\square}^{\text{proj}} b_0) \square (a_1 \bigcirc_{\square}^{\text{proj}} b_1) = (a_0 \square a_1) \bigcirc_{\square}^{\text{proj}} (b_0 \square b_1)$ ;
- 2°.  $(a_0 \times_{\square}^{\text{proj}} b_0) \square (a_1 \times_{\square}^{\text{proj}} b_1) = (a_0 \square a_1) \times_{\square}^{\text{proj}} (b_0 \square b_1)$ ;
- 3°.  $(a_0 \amalg_{\square}^{\text{proj}} b_0) \square (a_1 \amalg_{\square}^{\text{proj}} b_1) = (a_0 \square a_1) \amalg_{\square}^{\text{proj}} (b_0 \square b_1)$ ;

**1.2. Product for endomorphisms.** Let  $F$  be an indexed family of endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

I will denote  $\text{Ob } f$  the object (source and destination) of an endomorphism  $f$ . Let also  $\pi_i^X$  be a monovaled entirely defined morphism (for each  $i \in \text{dom } F$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcirc_{\square}^{\text{proj}} F &= \prod_{i \in \text{dom } F} ((\pi_i^{\lambda_j \in n : \text{Ob } F_j})^\dagger \circ F_i \circ \pi_i^{\lambda_j \in n : \text{Ob } F_j}); \\ \bigcirc_{\square}^{\text{proj}} F &= \prod_{i \in \text{dom } F} ((\pi_i^{\lambda_j \in n : \text{Ob } F_j})^\dagger \circ F_i \circ \pi_i^{\lambda_j \in n : \text{Ob } F_j}) \end{aligned}$$

(if  $\pi$  is defined at  $\lambda_j \in n : \text{Ob } F_j$ ).

Abbreviate  $\pi_i = \pi_i^{\lambda_j \in n : \text{Ob } F_j}$ .

So

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcirc_{\sqcap}^{\text{proj}} F &= \prod_{i \in \text{dom } F} ((\pi_i)^\dagger \circ F_i \circ \pi_i); \\ \bigcirc_{\sqcup}^{\text{proj}} F &= \bigsqcup_{i \in \text{dom } F} ((\pi_i)^\dagger \circ F_i \circ \pi_i). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcirc_{\sqcap}^{\text{proj}} F &= \max \left\{ \frac{\Phi \in \text{End} \left( \prod_{j \in n} \text{Ob } F_j \right)}{\forall i \in n: \Phi \sqsubseteq (\pi_i)^\dagger \circ F_i \circ \pi_i} \right\}. \\ \bigcirc_{\sqcup}^{\text{proj}} F &= \min \left\{ \frac{\Phi \in \text{End} \left( \prod_{j \in n} \text{Ob } F_j \right)}{\forall i \in n: \Phi \sqsupseteq (\pi_i)^\dagger \circ F_i \circ \pi_i} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that  $\pi_i$  is a monovalued entirely defined morphism, we get:

$$\text{OBVIOUS 2137. } \bigcirc_{\sqcap}^{\text{proj}} F = \max \left\{ \frac{\Phi \in \text{End} \left( \prod_{j \in n} \text{Ob } F_j \right)}{\forall i \in n: \pi_i \in \mathcal{C}(\Phi, F_i)} \right\}.$$

$$\text{OBVIOUS 2138. } \bigcirc_{\sqcup}^{\text{proj}} F = \min \left\{ \frac{\Phi \in \text{End} \left( \prod_{j \in n} \text{Ob } F_j \right)}{\forall i \in n: \pi_i \in \mathcal{C}_*(\Phi, F_i)} \right\}.$$

REMARK 2139. The above formulas may allow to define the product for non-dagger categories (but only for endomorphisms). In this writing I don't introduce a notation for this, however.

COROLLARY 2140.  $\pi_i \in \mathcal{C} \left( \bigcirc_{\sqcap}^{\text{proj}} F, F_i \right)$  and  $\pi_i \in \mathcal{C}_* \left( \bigcirc_{\sqcup}^{\text{proj}} F, F_i \right)$  for every  $i \in \text{dom } F$ .

### 1.3. Category of continuous morphisms.

DEFINITION 2141. The category  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$  is defined as follows:

- Objects are endomorphisms of the category  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- Morphisms are triples  $(f, a, b)$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are objects and  $f : \text{Ob } a \rightarrow \text{Ob } b$  is an entirely defined monovalue principal morphism of the category  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)$  (in other words,  $f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f$ ).
- Composition of morphisms is defined by the formula  $(g, b, c) \circ (f, a, b) = (g \circ f, a, c)$ .
- Identity morphisms are  $(a, a, 1_a^{\mathcal{C}})$ .

It is really a category:

PROOF. We need to prove that: composition of morphisms is a morphism, composition is associative, and identity morphisms can be canceled on the left and on the right.

That composition of morphisms is a morphism by properties of generalized continuity.

That composition is associative is obvious.

That identity morphisms can be canceled on the left and on the right is obvious.  $\square$

REMARK 2142. The ‘‘physical’’ meaning of this category is:

- Objects (endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$ ) are spaces.
- Morphisms are continuous functions between spaces.
- $f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f$  intuitively means that  $f$  combined with an infinitely small is less than infinitely small combined with  $f$  (that is  $f$  is continuous).

DEFINITION 2143.  $\pi_i^{\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})} = \left( \bigcirc_{\sqcap}^{\text{proj}} F, F_i, \pi_i \right)$ .

PROPOSITION 2144.  $\pi_i$  are continuous, that is  $\pi^{\text{cont}}(\mathcal{C})_i$  are morphisms.

PROOF. We need to prove  $\pi_i \in \mathbf{C}\left(\bigodot_{\square}^{\text{proj}} F, F_i\right)$  but that was proved above.  $\square$

Let further  $\mathcal{C}$  have sets as objects and  $\prod^{(Q)} X = \prod X$  for an indexed family  $X$  of sets and  $\pi_i = \text{Pr}_i$  (for  $i \in \text{dom } F$ ).

LEMMA 2145.  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})}\left(Y, \bigodot_{\square}^{\text{proj}} F\right)$  is continuous iff all  $\pi_i \circ f$  are continuous.

PROOF.

$\Rightarrow$ . Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})}\left(Y, \bigodot_{\square}^{\text{proj}} F\right)$ . Then  $f \circ Y \sqsubseteq \left(\bigodot_{\square}^{\text{proj}} F\right) \circ f$ ;  $\pi_i \circ f \circ Y \sqsubseteq$

$\pi_i \circ \left(\bigodot_{\square}^{\text{proj}} F\right) \circ f$ ;  $\pi_i \circ f \circ Y \sqsubseteq \left(\bigodot_{\square}^{\text{proj}} F\right) \circ \pi_i \circ f$ . Thus  $\pi_i \circ f$  is continuous.

$\Leftarrow$ . Let all  $\pi_i \circ f$  be continuous. Then  $\pi_i^{\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})} \circ f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})}(Y, F_i)$ ;  $\pi_i^{\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})} \circ f \circ Y \sqsubseteq F_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})} \circ f$ . We need to prove  $Y \sqsubseteq f^{\dagger} \circ \left(\bigodot_{\square}^{\text{proj}} F\right) \circ f$  that is

$$Y \sqsubseteq f^{\dagger} \circ \prod_{i \in n} ((\pi_i)^{\dagger} \circ F_i \circ \pi_i) \circ f$$

for what is enough (because  $f$  is metamonovalued)

$$Y \sqsubseteq \prod_{i \in n} (f^{\dagger} \circ (\pi_i)^{\dagger} \circ F_i \circ \pi_i \circ f)$$

what follows from  $Y \sqsubseteq \prod_{i \in n} (f^{\dagger} \circ (\pi_i)^{\dagger} \circ \pi_i \circ f \circ Y)$  what is obvious.  $\square$

THEOREM 2146.  $\prod_{\square}^{\text{proj}}$  together with  $\pi$  is a categorical product in the category  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$ .

PROOF. Check <http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/102632/how-to-check-whether-it-is-a-direct-product/102677#102677>

I will denote  $(\prod f)x = \prod_{i \in \text{dom } f} f_i x$  for an indexed family  $f$  of functions.

We need to prove:

$$1^{\circ}. \pi_k \circ \prod f = f_k;$$

$$2^{\circ}. \prod_{i \in \text{dom } f} (\pi_i \circ f) = f.$$

But it follows from the fact that  $\pi_i = \text{Pr}_i$ .  $\square$

## 2. On duality

We will consider duality where both the category  $\mathcal{C}$  and orders on Hom-sets are replaced with their dual. I will denote  $A \xleftrightarrow{\text{dual}} B$  when two formulas  $A$  and  $B$  are dual with this duality.

PROPOSITION 2147.  $f \in \mathbf{C}(\mu, \nu) \xleftrightarrow{\text{dual}} f^{\dagger} \in \mathbf{C}(\nu^{\dagger}, \mu^{\dagger})$ .

PROOF.  $f \in \mathbf{C}(\mu, \nu) \Leftrightarrow f \circ \mu \sqsubseteq \nu \circ f \xleftrightarrow{\text{dual}} \mu^{\dagger} \circ f^{\dagger} \sqsupseteq f^{\dagger} \circ \nu^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow f^{\dagger} \in \mathbf{C}(\nu^{\dagger}, \mu^{\dagger})$ .  $\square$

$f$  is entirely defined  $\Leftrightarrow f^{\dagger} \circ f \sqsupseteq 1_{\text{Src } f} \xleftrightarrow{\text{dual}} f^{\dagger} \circ f \sqsubseteq 1_{\text{Src } f} \Leftrightarrow f$  is injective  $\Leftrightarrow f^{\dagger}$  is monovalued.

$f$  is monovalued  $\Leftrightarrow f \circ f^{\dagger} \sqsubseteq 1_{\text{Dst } f} \xleftrightarrow{\text{dual}} f \circ f^{\dagger} \sqsupseteq 1_{\text{Dst } f} \Leftrightarrow f$  is surjective  $\Leftrightarrow f^{\dagger}$  is entirely defined.

### 3. Dual products

The below is the dual of the above, proofs are omitted as they are dual.

Let  $\coprod^{(Q)} X$  be an object for each indexed family  $X$  of objects.

I will denote *coprincipal* morphisms  $f^\dagger$  where  $f$  is principal. (Usually there is no distinction between principal and coprincipal.)

Let  $\iota$  be a partial function mapping elements  $X \in \text{dom } \iota$  (which consist of small indexed families of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ ) to indexed families  $X_i \rightarrow \coprod^{(Q)} X$  of coprincipal morphisms (called *injections*) for every  $i \in \text{dom } X$ .

We will denote particular morphisms as  $\iota_i^X$ .

If (but we won't assume this below)  $\iota_i = (\pi_i)^\dagger$ . We have the above equivalent to  $\pi_i$  being principal.

We will define  $\odot_{\sqcup}^{\text{inj}}$ ,  $\prod^{\text{inj}}$ ,  $\coprod^{\text{inj}}$  by analogy with proj counterparts replacing  $\pi$  by  $\iota^\dagger$ .

We will also define  $\odot_{\sqcup}^{\text{proj}}$ ,  $\odot_{\sqcup}^{\text{inj}}$ , etc. by replacing  $\prod$  by  $\sqcup$ .

#### 3.1. Dual products for endomorphisms.

PROPOSITION 2148.  $\odot_{\sqcup}^{\text{inj}} F = \min \left\{ \frac{\Phi \in \text{End}(\prod_{j \in n}^{\text{inj}} \text{Ob } F_j)}{\forall i \in n: \Phi \sqsubseteq \iota_i^{\lambda j \in n: \text{Src } F_j \circ F_i^\dagger \circ (\iota_i^{\lambda j \in n: \text{Dst } F_j})^\dagger}} \right\}$ .

PROOF. By duality. □

Let  $F$  be an indexed family of endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

DEFINITION 2149.  $\odot_{\sqcup}^{\text{inj}} F = \sqcup_{i \in \text{dom } F} (\iota_i^{\lambda j \in n: \text{Ob } F_j} \circ F_i^\dagger \circ (\iota_i^{\lambda j \in n: \text{Ob } F_j})^\dagger)$ .

Abbreviate  $\iota_i = \iota_i^{\lambda j \in n: \text{Ob } F_j}$ .

So  $\odot_{\sqcup}^{\text{inj}} F = \sqcup_{i \in \text{dom } F} (\iota_i \circ F_i^\dagger \circ (\iota_i)^\dagger)$ .

$\odot_{\sqcup}^{\text{inj}} F = \min \left\{ \frac{\Phi \in \text{End}(\prod_{j \in n}^{\text{inj}} \text{Ob } F_j)}{\forall i \in n: \Phi \sqsubseteq \iota_i \circ F_i^\dagger \circ (\iota_i)^\dagger} \right\}$ .

Taking into account that  $\iota_i$  is a monovalued entirely defined morphism, we get:

OBVIOUS 2150.  $\prod^{(L)} = \min \left\{ \frac{\Phi \in \text{End}(\prod_{j \in n}^{\text{inj}} \text{Ob } F_j)}{\forall i \in n: \iota_i \in \mathcal{C}(F_i^\dagger, \Phi)} \right\}$ .

COROLLARY 2151.  $\iota_i \in \mathcal{C}(F_i, \prod^{(L)} F)$  for every  $i \in \text{dom } F$ .

REMARK 2152. The last two theorems don't require that our category is dagger. I omit the proof.

**3.2. Category of continuous morphisms.** Let  $\iota_i$  be canonical injections.

DEFINITION 2153.  $\iota_i^{\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})} = (F_i, \odot_{\sqcup}^{\text{inj}} F, \iota_i)$ .

OBVIOUS 2154.  $\iota_i$  are continuous that is  $\iota_i^{\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})}$  are morphisms.

THEOREM 2155.  $\prod_{\sqcup}^{\text{inj}}$  together with  $\iota$  is a categorical coproduct in the category  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$ .

PROOF. Dual to theorem 2146. □

## 4. Applying this to the theory of functors and reoids

### 4.1. Functors.

DEFINITION 2156.  $\mathbf{Fcd} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{cont FCD}$ .

Let  $F$  be a family of endofunctors.

## 4.2. Reloids.

DEFINITION 2157.  $\mathbf{Rld} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{cont RLD}$ .

Let  $F$  be a family of endoreloids.

It is trivial?? that for uniform spaces infimum product of reloids coincides with product uniformilty.

## 5. Initial and terminal objects

**5.1. Of category  $\mathbf{Fcd}$ .** Initial object of  $\mathbf{Fcd}$  is the endofunoid  $\perp^{\text{FCD}(\emptyset, \emptyset)}$ . It is initial because it has precisely one morphism  $o$  (the empty set considered as a function) to any object  $Y$ .  $o$  is a morphism because  $o \circ \perp^{\text{FCD}(\emptyset, \emptyset)} \sqsubseteq Y \circ o$ .

PROPOSITION 2158. Terminal objects of  $\mathbf{Fcd}$  are exactly  $\uparrow^{\mathcal{F}} \{*\} \times^{\text{FCD}} \uparrow^{\mathcal{F}} \{*\} = \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} \{(*, *)\}$  where  $*$  is an arbitrary point.

PROOF. In order for a function  $f : X \rightarrow \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} \{(*, *)\}$  be a morphism, it is required exactly  $f \circ X \sqsubseteq \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} \{(*, *)\} \circ f$

$f \circ X \sqsubseteq (f^{-1} \circ \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} \{(*, *)\})^{-1}$ ;  $f \circ X \sqsubseteq (\{*\} \times^{\text{FCD}} \langle f^{-1} \rangle \{*\})^{-1}$ ;  $f \circ X \sqsubseteq \langle f^{-1} \rangle \{*\} \times^{\text{FCD}} \{*\}$  what true exactly when  $f$  is a constant function with the value  $*$ .  $\square$

If  $n = \emptyset$  then;  $\bigodot_{\square}^{\text{proj}} \emptyset = \prod_{\square}^{\text{proj}} \emptyset = \coprod_{\square}^{\text{proj}} \emptyset = \max \text{FCD}(\{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset\}) = \uparrow^{\mathcal{F}} \{\emptyset\} \times^{\text{FCD}} \uparrow^{\mathcal{F}} \{\emptyset\} = \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} \{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\}$ .

**5.2. Of category  $\mathbf{Rld}$ .** Initial object of  $\mathbf{Rld}$  is the endofunoid  $\perp^{\text{RLD}(\emptyset, \emptyset)}$ . It is initial because it has precisely one morphism  $o$  (the empty set considered as a function) to any object  $Y$ .  $o$  is a morphism because  $o \circ \perp^{\text{RLD}(\emptyset, \emptyset)} \sqsubseteq Y \circ o$ .

PROPOSITION 2159. Terminal objects of  $\mathbf{Rld}$  are exactly  $\uparrow^{\mathcal{F}} \{*\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \uparrow^{\mathcal{F}} \{*\} = \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} \{(*, *)\}$  where  $*$  is an arbitrary point.

PROOF. In order for a function  $f : X \rightarrow \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} \{(*, *)\}$  be a morphism, it is required exactly  $f \circ X \sqsubseteq \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} \{(*, *)\} \circ f$

$f \circ X \sqsubseteq (f^{-1} \circ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} \{(*, *)\})^{-1}$ ;  $f \circ X \sqsubseteq (\{*\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f^{-1} \rangle \{*\})^{-1}$ ;  $f \circ X \sqsubseteq \langle f^{-1} \rangle \{*\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \{*\}$  what true exactly when  $f$  is a constant function with the value  $*$ .  $\square$

If  $n = \emptyset$  then;  $\bigodot_{\square}^{\text{proj}} \emptyset = \prod_{\square}^{\text{proj}} \emptyset = \coprod_{\square}^{\text{proj}} \emptyset = \max \text{RLD}(\{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset\}) = \uparrow^{\mathcal{F}} \{\emptyset\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \uparrow^{\mathcal{F}} \{\emptyset\} = \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} \{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\}$ .

## 6. Canonical product and subatomic product

**FiXme: Confusion between filters on products and multireloids.**

PROPOSITION 2160.  $\text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} |_{\mathfrak{F}(Z)} = \langle \pi_i \rangle$  for every index  $i$  of a cartesian product  $Z$ .

PROOF. If  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{F}(Z)$  then  $(\text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} |_{\mathfrak{F}(Z)}) \mathcal{X} = \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{X} = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} \langle \text{Pr}_i \rangle^* \mathcal{X} = \prod \langle \pi_i \rangle \text{up } \mathcal{X} = \langle \pi_i \rangle \mathcal{X}$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2161.  $\prod^{(A)} F = \prod_{i \in n} \left( \left( \pi_i^{\text{FCD}(\prod_{i \in n} \text{Dst } F)} \right)^{-1} \circ F_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{FCD}(\prod_{i \in n} \text{Src } F)} \right)$ .

PROOF.  $a \left[ \prod^{(A)} F \right] b \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in \text{dom } F : \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} a [F_i] \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} b \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in \text{dom } F : \left\langle \pi_i^{\text{FCD}(\prod_{i \in n} \text{Dst } F)} \right\rangle a [F_i] \left\langle \pi_i^{\text{FCD}(\prod_{i \in n} \text{Src } F)} \right\rangle b \Leftrightarrow$

$$\forall i \in \text{dom } F : a \left[ \left( \pi_i^{\text{FCD}(\prod_{i \in n} \text{Dst } F)} \right)^{-1} \circ F_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{FCD}(\prod_{i \in n} \text{Src } F)} \right] b \Leftrightarrow$$

$$a \left[ \prod_{i \in n} \left( \left( \pi_i^{\text{FCD}(\prod_{i \in n} \text{Dst } F)} \right)^{-1} \circ F_i \circ \pi_i^{\text{FCD}(\prod_{i \in n} \text{Src } F)} \right) \right] b \text{ for ultrafilters } a \text{ and } b. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 2162.  $\odot_{\square}^{\text{proj}} F = \prod^{(A)} F$  is  $F$  is a small indexed family of funcoids.

## 7. Further plans

Coordinate-wise continuity.

## 8. Cartesian closedness

We are not only to prove (or maybe disprove) that our categories are cartesian closed, but also to find (if any) explicit formulas for exponential transpose and evaluation.

"Definition" A category is //cartesian closed// iff:

- 1°. It has finite products.
- 2°. For each objects  $A, B$  is given an object  $\text{HOM}(A, B)$  (//exponentiation//) and a morphism  $\varepsilon_{A,B} : \text{HOM}(A, B) \times A \rightarrow B$ .
- 3°. For each morphism  $f : Z \times A \rightarrow B$  there is given a morphism (//exponential transpose//)  $\sim f : Z \rightarrow \text{HOM}(A, B)$ .
- 4°.  $\varepsilon_{B,C} \circ (\sim f \times 1_A) = f$  for  $f : A \rightarrow B \times C$ .
- 5°.  $\sim (\varepsilon_{B,C} \circ (g \times 1_A)) = g$  for  $g : A \rightarrow \text{HOM}(B, C)$ .

We will also denote  $f \mapsto (-f)$  the reverse of the bijection  $f \mapsto (\sim f)$ .

Our purpose is to prove (or disprove) that categories **Dig**, **Fcd**, and **Rld** are cartesian closed. Note that they have finite (and even infinite) products is already proved.

Alternative way to prove: you can prove that the functor  $- \times B$  is left adjoint to the exponentiation  $-^B$  where the counit is given by the evaluation map.

**8.1. Definitions.** Categories **Dig**, **Fcd**, and **Rld** are respectively categories of:

- 1°. discretely continuous maps between digraphs;
- 2°. (proximally) continuous maps between endofuncoids;
- 3°. (uniformly) continuous maps between endoreloids.

"Definition" //Digraph// is an endomorphism of the category **Rel**.

For a digraph  $A$  we denote  $\text{Ob } A$  the set of vertexes or  $A$  and  $\text{GR } A$  the set of edges or  $A$ .

"Definition" Category **Dig** of digraphs is the category whose objects are digraphs and morphisms are discretely continuous maps between digraphs. That is morphisms from a digraph  $\mu$  to a digraph  $\nu$  are functions (or more precisely morphisms of **Set**)  $f$  such that  $f \circ \mu \sqsubseteq \nu \circ f$  (or equivalently  $\mu \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ \nu \circ f$  or equivalently  $f \circ \mu \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq \nu$ ).

"Remark" Category of digraphs is sometimes defined in an other (non equivalent) way, allowing multiple edges between two given vertices.

## 8.2. Conjectures.

CONJECTURE 2163. The categories **Fcd** and **Rld** are cartesian closed (actually two conjectures).



<http://mathoverflow.net/questions/141615/how-to-prove-that-there-are-no-exponential-object-in-a-categ> suggests to investigate colimits to prove that there are no exponential object.

Our purpose is to prove (or disprove) that categories **Dig**, **Fcd**, and **Rld** are cartesian closed. Note that they have finite (and even infinite) products is already proved.

Alternative way to prove: you can prove that the functor  $- \times B$  is left adjoint to the exponentiation  $-^B$  where the counit is given by the evaluation map.

See <http://www.springer.com/us/book/9780387977102> for another way to prove Cartesian closedness.

**8.3. Category Dig is cartesian closed.** Category of digraphs is the simplest of our three categories and it is easy to demonstrate that it is cartesian closed. I demonstrate cartesian closedness of **Dig** mainly with the purpose to show a pattern similarly to which we may probably demonstrate our two other categories are cartesian closed.

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be digraphs:

- $\text{Ob HOM}(G, H) = (\text{Ob } H)^{\text{Ob } G}$ ;
- $(f, g) \in \text{GR HOM}(G, H) \Leftrightarrow \forall (v, w) \in \text{GR } G : (f(v), g(w)) \in \text{GR } H$  for every  $f, g \in \text{Ob HOM}(G, H) = (\text{Ob } H)^{\text{Ob } G}$ ;

$$\text{GR } 1_{\text{HOM}(B, C)} = \text{id}_{\text{Ob HOM}(B, C)} = \text{id}_{(\text{Ob } H)^{\text{Ob } G}}$$

Equivalently

$$(f, g) \in \text{GR HOM}(G, H) \Leftrightarrow \forall (v, w) \in \text{GR } G : g \circ \{(v, w)\} \circ f^{-1} \subseteq \text{GR } H$$

$$(f, g) \in \text{GR HOM}(G, H) \Leftrightarrow g \circ (\text{GR } G) \circ f^{-1} \subseteq \text{GR } H$$

$$(f, g) \in \text{GR HOM}(G, H) \Leftrightarrow \langle f \times^{(C)} g \rangle \text{GR } G \subseteq \text{GR } H$$

The transposition (the isomorphism) is uncurrying.

$$\sim f = \lambda a \in Z \lambda y \in A : f(a, y) \text{ that is } (\sim f)(a)(y) = f(a, y).$$

$$(-f)(a, y) = f(a)(y)$$

$$\text{If } f : A \times B \rightarrow C \text{ then } \sim f : A \rightarrow \text{HOM}(B, C)$$

"Proposition" Transposition and its inverse are morphisms of **Dig**.

"Proof" It follows from the equivalence  $\sim f : A \rightarrow \text{HOM}(B, C) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y :$

$$(x A y \Rightarrow (\sim f)x(\text{HOM}(B, C))(\sim f)y) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\forall x, y : (x A y \Rightarrow \forall (v, w) \in B : ((\sim f)xv, (\sim f)yw) \in C) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\forall x, y, v, w : (x A y \wedge v B w \Rightarrow ((\sim f)xv, (\sim f)yw) \in C) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\forall x, y, v, w : ((x, v)(A \times B)(y, w) \Rightarrow (f(x, v), f(y, w)) \in C) \Leftrightarrow f : A \times B \rightarrow C.$$

Evaluation  $\varepsilon : \text{HOM}(G, H) \times G \rightarrow H$  is defined by the formula:

Then evaluation is  $\varepsilon_{B, C} = \sim 1_{\text{HOM}(B, C)}$ .

$$\text{So } \varepsilon_{B, C}(p, q) = (\sim 1_{\text{HOM}(B, C)})(p, q) = 1_{\text{HOM}(B, C)}(p)(q) = p(q).$$

"Proposition" Evaluation is a morphism of **Dig**.

"Proof" Because  $\varepsilon_{B, C}(p, q) = \sim 1_{\text{HOM}(B, C)}$ .

It remains to prove:

- $\varepsilon_{B, C} \circ (\sim f \times 1_A) = f$  for  $f : A \rightarrow B \times C$ ;
- $\sim (\varepsilon_{B, C} \circ (g \times 1_A)) = g$  for  $g : A \rightarrow \text{HOM}(B, C)$ .

"Proof"  $\varepsilon_{B, C}(\sim f \times 1_A)(a, p) = \varepsilon_{B, C}((\sim f)a, p) = (\sim f)ap = f(a, p)$ . So  $\varepsilon_{B, C} \circ (\sim f \times 1_A) = f$ .

$(\sim (\varepsilon_{B, C} \circ (g \times 1_A)))(p)(q) = (\varepsilon_{B, C} \circ (g \times 1_A))(p, q) = \varepsilon_{B, C}(g \times 1_A)(p, q) = \varepsilon_{B, C}(gp, q) = g(p)(q)$ . So  $\sim (\varepsilon_{B, C} \circ (g \times 1_A)) = g$ .

**8.4. New attempt.** We will take  $\times^{(C)}$  as the product?? in the category **Fcd**

PROPOSITION 2164.  $\langle \bigcup (\text{curry } f)^* X \rangle^* Y = \langle f \rangle^* (X \times Y)$  [Is the left part always defined?]

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{PROOF. } \langle \bigcup \langle \text{curry } f \rangle^* X \rangle^* Y &= \left\{ \frac{\langle \bigcup \langle \text{curry } f \rangle^* X \rangle^* \{y\}}{y \in Y} \right\} = \\
\left\{ \frac{\langle \bigcup \langle \bigcup_{x \in X} \langle \text{curry } f \rangle x \rangle^* \{y\}}{y \in Y} \right\} &= \left\{ \frac{\bigcup \langle \bigcup_{x \in X} \langle \text{curry } f \rangle x \rangle^* \{y\}}{y \in Y} \right\} = \\
\left\{ \frac{\bigcup_{x \in X} \langle \langle \text{curry } f \rangle x \rangle^* \{y\}}{y \in Y} \right\} &= \left\{ \frac{\bigcup_{x \in X} \langle \text{curry } f \rangle x y}{y \in Y} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{\langle \langle \text{curry } f \rangle x \rangle y}{x \in X, y \in Y} \right\}. \\
\langle f \rangle^* (X \times Y) &= \left\{ \frac{f(x, y)}{x \in X, y \in Y} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the thesis.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2165.  $\langle \bigsqcup \langle \text{curry } f \rangle x \rangle y = \langle f \rangle (x \times^{\text{RLD}} y)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{PROOF. } \langle \bigsqcup \langle \text{curry } f \rangle x \rangle y &= \prod_{Y \in \text{up } y} \langle \bigsqcup \langle \text{curry } f \rangle x \rangle Y = \\
\prod_{Y \in \text{up } y} \langle \prod_{X \in \text{up } x} \langle \bigsqcup \langle \text{curry } f \rangle X \rangle Y \rangle &= \prod_{Y \in \text{up } y} \prod_{X \in \text{up } x} \langle \bigsqcup \langle \text{curry } f \rangle X \rangle Y = \\
\prod_{Y \in \text{up } y} \prod_{X \in \text{up } x} \langle \bigcup \langle \text{curry } f \rangle^* X \rangle^* Y &= \prod_{Y \in \text{up } y} \prod_{X \in \text{up } x} \langle f \rangle (X \times Y). \text{ By properties} \\
\text{of generalized filter bases } \prod_{Y \in \text{up } y} \prod_{X \in \text{up } x} \langle f \rangle (X \times Y) &= \langle f \rangle (x \times^{\text{RLD}} y) \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be endofunctors. By definition:

- $\text{Ob HOM}(G, H) = (\text{Ob } H)^{\text{Ob } G}$ ;
- $(f, g) \in \text{GR HOM}(G, H) \Leftrightarrow \forall v, w \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } G : \langle f \rangle v \times^{\text{FCD}} \langle g \rangle w \sqsubseteq H$   
for every  $f, g \in \text{Ob HOM}(G, H) = (\text{Ob } H)^{\text{Ob } G}$ ;

$\text{GR } 1_{\text{HOM}(B, C)} = \text{id}_{\text{Ob HOM}(B, C)} = \text{id}_{(\text{Ob } H)^{\text{Ob } G}}$

Equivalently

- $(f, g) \in \text{GR HOM}(A, B) \Leftrightarrow \forall v, w \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } A : g \circ (v \times^{\text{FCD}} w) \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq \text{GR } B$
- $(f, g) \in \text{GR HOM}(A, B) \Leftrightarrow g \circ A \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq B$
- $(f, g) \in \text{GR HOM}(A, B) \Leftrightarrow \langle f \times^{(C)} g \rangle A \sqsubseteq B$

LEMMA 2166.  $F [\text{HOM}(A, B)] G \Leftrightarrow G \circ A \circ F^{-1} \sqsubseteq B$  for sets  $F, G$  of functions.

PROOF.  $F [\text{HOM}(A, B)] G \Leftrightarrow \exists f \in F, g \in G : (f, g) \in \text{HOM}(A, B) \Leftrightarrow \exists f \in F, g \in G : g \circ A \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq B \Leftrightarrow G \circ A \circ F^{-1} \sqsubseteq B. \quad \square$

PROPOSITION 2167.  $\mathcal{F} [\text{HOM}(A, B)] \mathcal{G} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G} \circ A \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \sqsubseteq B$ .

PROOF.  $\mathcal{F} [\text{HOM}(A, B)] \mathcal{G} \Leftrightarrow \forall F \in \text{up } \mathcal{F}, G \in \text{up } \mathcal{G} : F [\text{HOM}(A, B)] G \Leftrightarrow \forall F \in \text{up } \mathcal{F}, G \in \text{up } \mathcal{G} : G \circ A \circ F^{-1} \sqsubseteq B$  what by properties of generalized filter bases is equivalent to  $\mathcal{G} \circ A \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \sqsubseteq B. \quad \square$

Let  $\sim f = \bigsqcup \circ \langle \text{curry } f \rangle$ . Here we consider  $\bigsqcup \circ \langle \text{curry } f \rangle$  as a principal funcooid that is binary relation:

PROPOSITION 2168.  $\bigsqcup \circ \langle \text{curry } f \rangle$  is a complete and co-complete pointfree funcooid.

PROOF. It is obviously a pointfree funcooid.

Let  $X$  be a principal filter.  $\bigsqcup \langle \text{curry } f \rangle X$  is obviously principal. So, it's co-complete.

And it is obviously complete.  $\square$

OBVIOUS 2169.  $\langle \langle \sim f \rangle x \rangle y = \langle f \rangle (x \times^{\text{RLD}} y)$ .

Let  $f \in \text{Hom}(A \times B, C)$  that is  $f \in Z^{A \times B}$ . Then  $\text{curry } f \in (C^B)^A$ ,  $\langle \text{curry } f \rangle X \in \mathcal{P}(C^B)$ ,  $\bigsqcup \langle \text{curry } f \rangle X \in C^B$ . Thus  $\sim f \in (\text{FCD}(B, C))^{\mathcal{F}(A)}$ .

If  $f : A \times B \rightarrow C$  then  $\sim f : \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \text{HOM}(B, C)$

**FiXme:** Rewrite below:

PROPOSITION 2170. Transposition and its inverse are morphisms of **Fcd**.

PROOF. It follows from the equivalence  $\sim f : A \rightarrow \text{HOM}(B, C) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } A : (x [A] y \Rightarrow \langle \sim f \rangle x [\text{HOM}(B, C)] \langle \sim f \rangle y) \Leftrightarrow$   
 $\forall x, y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } A : (x [A] y \Rightarrow (\langle \sim f \rangle y) \circ B \circ (\langle \sim f \rangle x)^{-1} \sqsubseteq C) \Leftrightarrow$   
 $\forall x, y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } A : (x [A] y \Rightarrow \forall p, q \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } B : (p \times^{\text{FCD}} q \sqsubseteq B \Rightarrow$   
 $(\langle \sim f \rangle y) \circ (p \times^{\text{FCD}} q) \circ (\langle \sim f \rangle x)^{-1} \sqsubseteq C) \Leftrightarrow$   
 $\forall x, y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } A, p, q \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } B : (x [A] y \wedge p [B] q \Rightarrow (\langle \sim f \rangle y) \circ (p \times^{\text{FCD}}$   
 $q) \circ (\langle \sim f \rangle x)^{-1} \sqsubseteq C) \Leftrightarrow$   
 $\forall x, y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } A, p, q \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } B : (x [A] y \wedge p [B] q \Rightarrow \langle \langle \sim f \rangle x \rangle p \times^{\text{FCD}}$   
 $\langle \langle \sim f \rangle y \rangle q \sqsubseteq C) \Leftrightarrow$   
 $\forall x, y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } A, p, q \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } B : (x \times^{\text{RLD}} p [A \times^{(C)} B] y \times^{\text{RLD}} q \Rightarrow$   
 $\langle f \rangle (x \times^{\text{RLD}} p) \times^{\text{FCD}} \langle f \rangle (y \times^{\text{RLD}} q) \sqsubseteq C) \forall x, y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } A, p, q \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } B :$   
 $((x \times^{\text{RLD}} p) \times^{\text{FCD}} (y \times^{\text{RLD}} q) \sqsubseteq A \times^{(C)} B \Rightarrow f \circ ((x \times^{\text{RLD}} p) \times^{\text{FCD}} (y \times^{\text{RLD}} q)) \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq C) \Leftarrow$   
 $\forall t, s \in \text{atoms}(\text{Ob } A \times^{\text{RLD}} \text{Ob } B) : (t \times^{\text{FCD}} s \sqsubseteq A \times^{(C)} B \Rightarrow f \circ (t \times^{\text{FCD}} s) \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq C) \Leftrightarrow$   
 $f \circ (A \times^{(C)} B) \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq C \Leftrightarrow f : A \times^{(C)} B \rightarrow C$

But:

$f : A \times^{(C)} B \rightarrow C \Leftrightarrow f \circ (A \times^{(C)} B) \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq C \Leftrightarrow \langle f \rangle A \times^{\text{FCD}} \langle f \rangle B \sqsubseteq$   
 $C \Rightarrow \forall x, y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } A, p, q \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } B : (x \times^{\text{RLD}} p \sqsubseteq A \wedge y \times^{\text{RLD}} q \sqsubseteq B \Rightarrow$   
 $\langle f \rangle (x \times^{\text{RLD}} p) \times^{\text{FCD}} \langle f \rangle (y \times^{\text{RLD}} q) \sqsubseteq C) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } A, p, q \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{Ob } B :$   
 $((x \times^{\text{RLD}} p) \times^{\text{FCD}} (y \times^{\text{RLD}} q) \sqsubseteq A \times^{(C)} B \Rightarrow \langle f \rangle (x \times^{\text{RLD}} p) \times^{\text{FCD}} \langle f \rangle (y \times^{\text{RLD}} q) \sqsubseteq C).$

Thus the thesis follows.  $\square$

Evaluation  $\varepsilon : \text{HOM}(G, H) \times G \rightarrow H$  is defined by the formula:

Then evaluation is  $\varepsilon_{B,C} = \sim 1_{\text{HOM}(B,C)}$ .

So  $\varepsilon_{B,C}(p, q) = (\sim 1_{\text{HOM}(B,C)})(p, q) = 1_{\text{HOM}(B,C)}(p)(q) = p(q)$ .

"Proposition" Evaluation is a morphism of **Dig**.

"Proof" Because  $\varepsilon_{B,C}(p, q) = \sim 1_{\text{HOM}(B,C)}$ .

It remains to prove:

- $\varepsilon_{B,C} \circ (\sim f \times 1_A) = f$  for  $f : A \rightarrow B \times C$ ;
- $\sim (\varepsilon_{B,C} \circ (g \times 1_A)) = g$  for  $g : A \rightarrow \text{HOM}(B, C)$ .

"Proof"  $\varepsilon_{B,C}(\sim f \times 1_A)(a, p) = \varepsilon_{B,C}((\sim f)a, p) = (\sim f)ap = f(a, p)$ . So  $\varepsilon_{B,C} \circ (\sim f \times 1_A) = f$ .

$(\sim (\varepsilon_{B,C} \circ (g \times 1_A)))(p)(q) = (\varepsilon_{B,C} \circ (g \times 1_A))(p, q) = \varepsilon_{B,C}(g \times 1_A)(p, q) = \varepsilon_{B,C}(gp, q) = g(p)(q)$ . So  $\sim (\varepsilon_{B,C} \circ (g \times 1_A)) = g$ .

**8.5. By analogy with the proof that Dig is cartesian closed.** The most obvious way for proof attempt that **Fcd** is cartesian closed is an analogy with the proof that **Dig** is cartesian closed.

Consider the long formula above. The proof would arise if we replace  $x$  and  $y$  in this formula with filters and operations and relations on set element with operations and relations on filters.

This proof could be simplified in either of two ways:

- replace  $x$  and  $y$  with ultrafilters, see [[Proof for Fcd using ultrafilters]];
- replace  $x$  and  $y$  with sets (principal filter), see [[Proof for Fcd using sets]].

This is not quite easy however, because we need to calculate uncurrying for a entirely defined monovalued principal funcoïd (what is essentially the same as a function of a **Set**-morphisms) taking either ultrafilters or principal filters as arguments. Such (generalized) uncurrying is not quite easy.

To sum what we need to prove:

- Transposition is a morphism.
- Evaluation is a morphism.
- $\varepsilon_{B,C} \circ (\sim f \times 1_A) = f$  for  $f : A \rightarrow B \times C$ .
- $\sim (\varepsilon_{B,C} \circ (g \times 1_A)) = g$  for  $g : A \rightarrow \text{HOM}(B, C)$ .

### 8.6. Attempt to describe exponentials in $\mathbf{Fcd}$ .

- Exponential object  $\text{HOM}(A, B)$  is the following endofunctor:
  - Object  $\text{Ob } \text{HOM}(A, B) = (\text{Ob } B)^{\text{Ob } A}$ ;
  - Graph is  $\text{GR } \text{HOM}(A, B) = \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} \left\{ \frac{(f, g)}{f, g \in (\text{Ob } B)^{\text{Ob } A} \wedge \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} g \circ A \circ \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f^{-1} \sqsubseteq B} \right\}$ .
- Transposition is uncurrying.
- Evaluation is  $\varepsilon_{A, B} x = \langle \text{dom } x \rangle \text{im } x$ .

We need to prove that the above defined are really an exponential and an evaluation.

Possible ways to prove that  $\mathbf{Fcd}$  is cartesian closed follow:

NEW IDEA: Instead take  $\text{GR } \text{HOM}(A, B) = \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} \left\{ \frac{(\text{dom } p, \text{im } p)}{p \in \text{End}(B)^{\text{End}(A)} \wedge \langle p \rangle A \sqsubseteq B} \right\}$   
(what's about other kinds of projections?)

**8.7. Proof for  $\mathbf{Fcd}$  using sets.** Currying for sets is  $\langle f \rangle(X \times Y) = \bigcup \langle \langle \sim f \rangle X \rangle Y$  (as it's easy to prove). This simple formula gives hope, but...

It does not work with sets because an analogy for sets of the last equality of the above mentioned long formula would be:

$$\forall X, Y, V, W \in \mathcal{P} \text{Ob } A : (X \times V [A \times B]^* Y \times W \Rightarrow \langle f \rangle(X \times V) [C]^* \langle f \rangle(Y \times W)) \Rightarrow$$

$$f : A \times B \rightarrow C$$

but this implication seems false.

The most obvious way for proof attempt that  $\mathbf{Fcd}$  is cartesian closed is an analogy with the proof that  $\mathbf{Dig}$  is cartesian closed.

Use the exponential object, transposition, and evaluation as defined in [[this page|Is category  $\mathbf{Fcd}$  cartesian closed?]]

**8.8. Reducing to the fact that  $\mathbf{Dig}$  is cartesian closed.** It is probably a simpler way to prove that  $\mathbf{Fcd}$  is cartesian closed by embedding it into  $\mathbf{Dig}$  (which is [[already known to be cartesian closed|Category  $\mathbf{Dig}$  is cartesian closed]]).

$\mathbf{Fcd}$  can be embedded into  $\mathbf{Dig}$  by the formulas:

- $A \mapsto \langle A \rangle$ ;
- $f \mapsto \langle f \rangle$ .

That this really maps a morphism of  $\mathbf{Fcd}$  into a morphism of  $\mathbf{Dig}$  follows from the fact that  $\langle g \circ f \rangle = \langle g \rangle \circ \langle f \rangle$ .

Obviously this embedding (denote it  $T$ ) is an injective (both on objects and morphisms) functor.

We will define:

- $\varepsilon_{A, B}^{\mathbf{Fcd}} = T^{-1} \varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\mathbf{Dig}}$ ;
- $\sim^{\mathbf{Fcd}} f = T^{-1} \sim^{\mathbf{Dig}} T f$ .

Due to functoriality and injectivity of  $T$  it is enough to prove that above defined  $\varepsilon_{A, B}^{\mathbf{Fcd}}$  and  $\sim^{\mathbf{Fcd}} f$  exist and are morphisms of  $\mathbf{Fcd}$ .

$\varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\mathbf{Dig}} \neq T \varepsilon_{A, B}^{\mathbf{Fcd}}$  because  $\varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\mathbf{Dig}}$  accepts ordered pairs as the argument and  $T \varepsilon_{A, B}^{\mathbf{Fcd}}$  accepts sets as the argument. So this is a dead end. Can the proof idea be salvaged?

## 9. Is category $\mathbf{Rld}$ cartesian closed?

We may attempt to prove that  $\mathbf{Rld}$  is cartesian closed by embedding it into supposedly cartesian closed category  $\mathbf{Fcd}$  by the function  $\rho$ :

$$\langle \rho f \rangle x = f \circ x \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \rho f^{-1} \rangle y = f^{-1} \circ y.$$

TODO: More to write on this topic.

## Equalizers and co-Equalizers in Certain Categories

It is a rough draft. Errors are possible.

**FixMe:** Change notation  $\amalg \rightarrow \amalg^{(L)}$ .

### 1. Equalizers

Categories  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$  are defined above.

I will denote  $W$  the forgetful functor from  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

In the definition of the category  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$  take values of  $\uparrow$  as principal morphisms.

**FixMe:** Wording.

**LEMMA 2171.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of the category  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$  where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a concrete category (so  $Wf = \uparrow \varphi$  for a **Rel**-morphism  $\varphi$  because  $f$  is principal) and  $\text{im } \varphi = A \subseteq \text{Ob } Y$ . Factor it  $\varphi = \mathcal{E}^{\text{Ob } Y} \circ u$  where  $u : \text{Ob } X \rightarrow A$  using properties of **Set**. Then  $u$  is a morphism of  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$  (that is a continuous function  $X \rightarrow \iota_A Y$ ).

**PROOF.**  $(\mathcal{E}^{\text{Ob } Y})^{-1} \circ \varphi = (\mathcal{E}^{\text{Ob } Y})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{E}^{\text{Ob } Y} \circ u$ ;

$(\mathcal{E}_c^{\text{Ob } Y})^{-1} \circ \uparrow \varphi = (\mathcal{E}_c^{\text{Ob } Y})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{E}_c^{\text{Ob } Y} \circ \uparrow u$ ;

$(\mathcal{E}_c^{\text{Ob } Y})^{-1} \circ \uparrow \varphi = \uparrow u$ ;

$X \sqsubseteq (\uparrow u)^{-1} \circ \pi_A Y \circ \uparrow u \Leftrightarrow X \sqsubseteq (\uparrow \varphi)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{E}_c^{\text{Ob } Y} \circ \pi_A Y \circ (\mathcal{E}_c^{\text{Ob } Y})^{-1} \circ \uparrow \varphi \Leftrightarrow$   
 $X \sqsubseteq (\uparrow \varphi)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{E}_c^{\text{Ob } Y} \circ (\mathcal{E}_c^{\text{Ob } Y})^{-1} \circ Y \circ \mathcal{E}_c^{\text{Ob } Y} \circ (\mathcal{E}_c^{\text{Ob } Y})^{-1} \circ \uparrow \varphi \Leftrightarrow X \sqsubseteq (\uparrow \varphi)^{-1} \circ Y \circ \uparrow \varphi$   
 $\varphi \Leftrightarrow X \sqsubseteq (Wf)^{-1} \circ Y \circ Wf$  what is true by definition of continuity.  $\square$

Equational definition of equalizers:

<http://nforum.mathforge.org/comments.php?DiscussionID=5328/>

**THEOREM 2172.** The following is an equalizer of parallel morphisms  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  of category  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$ :

- the object  $X = \iota_{\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} x \in \text{Ob } A \\ fx=gx \end{smallmatrix} \right\}} A$ ;
- the morphism  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{Ob } X, \text{Ob } A}$  considered as a morphism  $X \rightarrow A$ .

**PROOF.** Denote  $e = \mathcal{E}^{\text{Ob } X, \text{Ob } A}$ .

Let  $f \circ z = g \circ z$  for some morphism  $z$ .

Let's prove  $e \circ u = z$  for some  $u : \text{Src } z \rightarrow X$ . Really, as a morphism of **Set** it exists and is unique.

Consider  $z$  as a generalized element.

$f(z) = g(z)$ . So  $z \in X$  (that is  $\text{Dst } z \in X$ ). Thus  $z = e \circ u$  for some  $u$  (by properties of **Set**). The generalized element  $u$  is a  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$ -morphism because of the lemma above. It is unique by properties of **Set**.  $\square$

We can (over)simplify the above theorem by the obvious below:

**OBVIOUS 2173.**  $\left\{ \frac{x \in \text{Ob } A}{fx=gx} \right\} = \text{dom}(f \cap g)$ .

### 2. Co-equalizers

<http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/539717/>

[how-to-construct-co-equalizers-in-mathbftop](#)

Let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation. Let's denote  $\pi$  its canonical projection.

DEFINITION 2174.  $f/\sim = \uparrow \pi \circ f \circ \uparrow \pi^{-1}$  for every morphism  $f$ .

OBVIOUS 2175.  $\text{Ob}(f/\sim) = (\text{Ob } f)/r$ .

OBVIOUS 2176.  $f/\sim = \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} \pi \times^{(C)} \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} \pi \rangle f$  for every morphism  $f$ .

To define co-equalizers of morphisms  $f$  and  $g$  let  $\sim$  be is the smallest equivalence relation such that  $fx = gx$ .

LEMMA 2177. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of the category  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$  where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a concrete category (so  $Wf = \uparrow \varphi$  for a **Rel**-morphism  $\varphi$  because  $f$  is principal) such that  $\varphi$  respects  $\sim$ . Factor it  $\varphi = u \circ \pi$  where  $u : \text{Ob}(X/\sim) \rightarrow \text{Ob } Y$  using properties of **Set**. Then  $u$  is a morphism of  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$  (that is a continuous function  $X/\sim \rightarrow Y$ ).

PROOF.  $f \circ X \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq Y$ ;  $\uparrow u \circ \uparrow \pi \circ X \circ \uparrow \pi^{-1} \circ \uparrow u^{-1} \sqsubseteq Y$ ;  $\uparrow u \in C(\uparrow \pi \circ X \circ \uparrow \pi^{-1}, Y) = C(X/\sim, Y)$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 2178. The following is a co-equalizer of parallel morphisms  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  of category  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$ :

- the object  $Y = f/\sim$ ;
- the morphism  $\pi$  considered as a morphism  $B \rightarrow Y$ .

PROOF. Let  $z \circ f = z \circ g$  for some morphism  $z$ .

Let's prove  $u \circ \pi = z$  for some  $u : Y \rightarrow \text{Dst } z$ . Really, as a morphism of **Set** it exists and is unique.

$\text{Src } z \in Y$ . Thus  $z = u \circ \pi$  for some  $u$  (by properties of **Set**). The function  $u$  is a  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$ -morphism because of the lemma above. It is unique by properties of **Set** ( $\pi$  obviously respects equivalence classes).  $\square$

### 3. Rest

THEOREM 2179. The categories  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$  (for example in **Fcd** and **Rld**) are complete. **Fixme: Note that small complete category is a preorder!**

PROOF. They have products and equalizers.  $\square$

THEOREM 2180. The categories  $\text{cont}(\mathcal{C})$  (for example in **Fcd** and **Rld**) are co-complete.

PROOF. They have co-products and co-equalizers.  $\square$

DEFINITION 2181. I call morphisms  $f$  and  $g$  of a category with embeddings *equivalent* ( $f \sim g$ ) when there exist a morphism  $p$  such that  $\text{Src } p \sqsubseteq \text{Src } f$ ,  $\text{Src } p \sqsubseteq \text{Src } g$ ,  $\text{Dst } p \sqsubseteq \text{Dst } f$ ,  $\text{Dst } p \sqsubseteq \text{Dst } g$  and  $\iota_{\text{Src } f, \text{Dst } f} p = f$  and  $\iota_{\text{Src } g, \text{Dst } g} p = g$ .

PROBLEM 2182. Find under which conditions:

- 1°. Equivalence of morphisms is an equivalence relation.
- 2°. Equivalence of morphisms is a congruence for our category.

## Categories of filters

In [1] two categories, whose objects are related with filters on sets, are defined and researched.

Accordingly [1] infinite product is defined just in the first (denoted  $\mathcal{F}$  there) of these two categories. So we will for now consider the first category. (Usefulness of the second category for our research is questionable.)

Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a function,  $\mathcal{A}$  be a filter on  $A$ .

PROPOSITION 2183.  $\left\{ \frac{Y \in \mathcal{P}B}{\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y \in \mathcal{A}} \right\}$  is a filter.

PROOF. That it is an upper set is obvious.

Let  $Y_0, Y_1 \in \left\{ \frac{Y \in \mathcal{P}B}{\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y \in \mathcal{A}} \right\}$ . Then  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . We have

$$\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* (Y_0 \cap Y_1) = \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y_0 \cap \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y_1 \in \mathcal{A}$$

since  $f$  is monovalued. Thus  $Y_0 \cap Y_1 \in \left\{ \frac{Y \in \mathcal{P}B}{\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y \in \mathcal{A}} \right\}$ . □

THEOREM 2184. **FixMe: Should be moved above in the book.**  $\left\{ \frac{Y \in \mathcal{P}B}{\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y \in \mathcal{A}} \right\}$  is equal to the filter generated by the filter base  $\langle \langle f \rangle^* \rangle^* \mathcal{A}$ , for every filter  $\mathcal{A}$ .

PROOF. Denote  $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \frac{Y \in \mathcal{P}B}{\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y \in \mathcal{A}} \right\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \langle \langle f \rangle^* \rangle^* \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $Y = \langle f \rangle^* A$  where  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* \langle f \rangle^* A \supseteq A$  and so  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* \langle f \rangle^* A \in \mathcal{A}$ . This proves  $\langle f \rangle^* A \in \mathcal{B}$ , that is  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Let now  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\langle f \rangle^* \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y \subseteq Y$ . Since  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have that  $Y$  is a supset of some set of the form  $\langle f \rangle^* A$ , so  $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ . □

COROLLARY 2185.  $\text{up}\langle f \rangle \mathcal{A} = \left\{ \frac{Y \in \mathcal{P}B}{\langle f^{-1} \rangle^* Y \in \text{up}\mathcal{A}} \right\}$ .

DEFINITION 2186. The *category of filtered sets* **Filt** is the category defined as follows:

- 1°. Objects are pairs  $(A, \mathcal{A})$  where  $A$  is a (small) set and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a filter on  $A$ .
- 2°. Morphisms from  $(A, \mathcal{A})$  to  $(B, \mathcal{B})$  are functions  $f : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\langle f \rangle \mathcal{A} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}$ .
- 3°. Identities are identity functions.

To verify that it is a category is straightforward.

It is the same category as  $\mathcal{F}$  in [1], as follows from an above proposition.

We will prove that starred relocal product is a categorical product in this category. First we will prove the special case that binary relocal product is a categorical product in this category.

THEOREM 2187.  $\times^{\text{RLD}}$  (together with projections  $\text{Pr}_0$  and  $\text{Pr}_1$ ) is a categorical product in **Filt**.

PROOF. Let our objects be  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ .

Denote  $p$  the left projection from  $\text{Base}(\mathcal{A}) \times \text{Base}(\mathcal{B})$  to  $\text{Base}(\mathcal{A})$ .

We need to check that  $p$  is a **Filt**-morphism that is  $p(\mathcal{A} \times^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{B}) \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$  what is obvious.

Similarly for the right projection  $q$ .

It remains to check the universal property: Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a filter and  $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,  $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . We need to prove that there are a unique  $u : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{B}$  such that  $f = p \circ u$  and  $g = q \circ u$ . Denote  $h(z) = (f(z), g(z))$ .

$h$  is the unique function  $\text{Base}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Base}(\mathcal{A}) \times \text{Base}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $f = p \circ h$  and  $g = q \circ h$ , so it remains to check that  $h$  is a morphism of **Filt** that is  $\langle h \rangle \mathcal{C} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A} \times^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{B}$ , what obviously follows from  $\langle f \rangle \mathcal{C} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$  and  $\langle g \rangle \mathcal{C} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2188.**  $\prod^{\text{RLD}^*}$  together with projections  $\text{Pr}_k$  is a categorical product in **Filt**.

**PROOF.** Consider an indexed family  $\mathcal{A}$  of objects.

Denote  $p_k$  the  $k$ -th projection from  $\prod_{i \in \text{dom } \mathcal{A}} \text{Base}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ .

We need to check that  $p_k$  is a **Filt**-morphism that is  $p_k(\prod^{\text{RLD}^*} \mathcal{A}) \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}_k$  what is obvious.

It remains to check the universal property: Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a filter and  $f_k : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_k$ . We need to prove that there are a unique  $u : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \prod^{\text{RLD}^*} \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f_k = p_k \circ u$ . Denote  $h(z) = \lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathcal{A} : f_i z$ .

$h$  is the unique function  $\text{Base}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in \text{dom } \mathcal{A}} \text{Base}(\mathcal{A}_i)$  such that  $f_k = p_k \circ h$ , so it remains to check that  $h$  is a morphism of **Filt** that is  $\langle h \rangle \mathcal{C} \sqsubseteq \prod^{\text{RLD}^*} \mathcal{A}$ . It follows from

$$\text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} \langle h \rangle \mathcal{C} = \prod \langle \text{Pr}_i \rangle^* \langle h \rangle^* \text{up } \mathcal{C} = \prod \langle \text{Pr}_i \circ h \rangle^* \text{up } \mathcal{C} = \prod \langle f_i \rangle^* \text{up } \mathcal{C} = \langle f_i \rangle \mathcal{C} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}_i.$$

$\square$



## Power of filters

### 1. Germs of functions

DEFINITION 2189. Functions  $f, g \in \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{Ob} \mathcal{X}, B)$  are of the same  $\mathcal{X}$ -germ for a filter object  $\mathcal{X}$  iff there exists  $X \in \text{up} \mathcal{X}$  such that  $f|_X = g|_X$ .

PROPOSITION 2190. Being of the same germ is an equivalence relation.

PROOF.

Reflexivity. Take arbitrary  $X \in \text{up} \mathcal{X}$ .

Symmetry. Obvious.

Transitivity. Let  $f|_X = g|_X$  and  $g|_Y = h|_Y$ . Then  $f|_{X \cap Y} = h|_{X \cap Y}$ .

□

DEFINITION 2191. A *germ* is an equivalence class of being the same germ.

OBVIOUS 2192. Every germ is a filter on  $\mathbf{Set}$ .

THEOREM 2193. Let  $A, B$  be sets.

The following are mutually inverse bijections between monovalued reloids  $f : A \rightarrow B$  with  $\text{dom} f = \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$ -germs  $S$  of functions  $A \rightarrow B$  for  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}A$ :

- 1°.  $f \mapsto \text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}} f$ ;
- 2°.  $S \mapsto s|_{\mathcal{X}}$  if  $s \in S$ .

The second bijection can also be written as  $S \mapsto (\prod^{\mathbf{RLD}} S)|_{\mathcal{X}}$  or if  $\text{card} B \neq 1$  as  $S \mapsto \prod^{\mathbf{RLD}} S$ .

REMARK 2194.  $s|_{\mathcal{X}}$  is always defined because  $S$  is nonempty (it is an equivalence class).

PROOF. First prove that  $\text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}} f$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -germ. Really,  $F \in \text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}} f \Leftrightarrow F \sqsupseteq f \Leftrightarrow F|_{\mathcal{X}} = f \Leftrightarrow \exists X \in \text{up} \mathcal{X} : F|_X \sqsupseteq f$ ; thus  $F, G \in \text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}} f \Rightarrow \exists X \in \text{up} \mathcal{X} : F|_X \sqsupseteq f \wedge G|_X \sqsupseteq f \Rightarrow \exists X \in \text{up} \mathcal{X} : F|_{X \cap Y} \sqsupseteq f \wedge G|_{X \cap Y} \sqsupseteq f \Rightarrow \exists Z \in \text{up} \mathcal{X} : (F|_Z \sqsupseteq f \wedge G|_Z \sqsupseteq f) \Rightarrow \exists Z \in \text{up} \mathcal{X} : (F \sqcap G)|_Z \sqsupseteq f$  and  $F \in \text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}} f \wedge \exists X \in \text{up} \mathcal{X} : F|_X = G|_X \Rightarrow F \sqsupseteq f \wedge F|_X = G|_X \Rightarrow G|_X \sqsupseteq f \Rightarrow G \in \text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}} f$ . We have proved that  $\text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}} f$  is an equivalence class of the suitable equivalence relation, that is  $\text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}} f$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -germ.

That  $\prod^{\mathbf{RLD}} S$  is a monovalued reloid is obvious. Also  $\text{im} \prod^{\mathbf{RLD}} S = \mathcal{X}$  is obvious.

Now prove that our correspondences are mutually inverse.

Let  $f_0 : A \rightarrow B$  be a monovalued reloid and  $\text{dom} f_0 = \mathcal{X}$ . Let  $S = \text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}} f_0$  and  $f_1 = s|_{\mathcal{X}}$  for an  $s \in S$ . We need to prove  $f_1 = f_0$ . Really,  $f_1 = F|_{\mathcal{X}}$  for an  $F \in \text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}} f_0$ ; thus  $f_1 = f_0$ .

Let  $S_0$  be an  $\mathcal{X}$ -germ of functions  $A \rightarrow B$ . Let  $f = s|_{\mathcal{X}}$  for an  $s \in S_0$  and  $S_1 = \text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}} f$ . We need to prove  $S_1 = S_0$ . Really,

$$S_1 = \text{up}^{\mathbf{Set}}(s|_{\mathcal{X}}) = \left\{ \frac{F \in \mathbf{Set}}{F \sqsupseteq s|_{\mathcal{X}}} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{F \in \mathbf{Set}}{\exists X \in \text{up} \mathcal{X} : F|_X \sqsupseteq s|_X} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{F \in \mathbf{Set}}{\exists X \in \text{up} \mathcal{X} : F|_X = s|_X} \right\} = S_0.$$

$$\left( \prod^{\mathbf{RLD}} S \right) |_{\mathcal{X}} = \prod_{s \in S}^{\mathbf{RLD}} s|_{\mathcal{X}} = s|_{\mathcal{X}} \text{ for every choice of } s \in S.$$

We can assume that  $B \neq \emptyset$  because otherwise the theorem is obvious. Thus we can assume  $\text{card } B > 1$ .

If  $\mathcal{X} = X$  then obviously  $S$  has just one element  $F$  and  $\text{im} \prod^{\text{RLD}} S = \text{im } F = X = \mathcal{X}$ . Otherwise for every  $X \in \text{up } \mathcal{X}$  there are elements  $F, G$  of  $S$  such that  $\text{dom}(F \sqcap G) \sqsubseteq X$  (using  $\text{card } B > 1$ ).

By properties of generalized filter bases  $X \times \top \sqsupseteq \prod^{\text{RLD}} S \Leftrightarrow \exists F, G \in S : X \times \top \sqsupseteq F \sqcap G \Leftrightarrow X \sqsupseteq \mathcal{X}$ . Thus  $\text{im} \prod^{\text{RLD}} S = \mathcal{X}$ .  $\square$

## 2. Power of filters

Let's define  $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}}$  for filters  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ :

First define  $Y^{\mathcal{X}}$  for a set  $Y$ :

$$Y^{\mathcal{X}} = \left\{ \frac{f \in \text{RLD}(\text{Ob } \mathcal{X}, Y)}{\text{dom } f = \mathcal{X} \wedge f \text{ is monovalued}} \right\}.$$

Now  $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}} = \prod_{Y \in \text{up } \mathcal{Y}}^{\text{RLD}} Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ .

[1] defines an isomorphism to this way to define ‘‘exponentiation’’ of filters.

TODO: Check  $\mathcal{Y}^1 \cong \mathcal{Y}$ ;  $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{X} \times^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{Y}} \cong (\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{X}})^{\mathcal{Y}}$ ;  $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{X} \sqcap \mathcal{Y}} \cong \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{X}} \times^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{Y}}$ ;  $\mathcal{Y}^2 \cong \mathcal{Y} \times^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{Y}$ ;  $\mathcal{Y}^0 \cong 1$ ;  $\mathcal{Y}^N \cong \prod_{n \in N}^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{Y}$ . More formulas at [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cartesian\\_closed\\_category](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cartesian_closed_category).

Andreas Blass says in a private email that it is not cartesian closed: ‘‘Unfortunately, the two categories of filters in my paper are not cartesian closed. This is mentioned in a parenthetical comment near the bottom of page 141. The operation of cartesian product with the cofinite filter on the natural numbers has no right adjoint, because it does not preserve infinite coproducts.’’ about [1].

But it is probably a braided closed monoidal category?

See [1] for more categorical properties of filters.

## Matters related to tensor product

These consideration on (possibly infinite) indexed families of join-semilattices is based on [7] (for the finite case).

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an indexed family of join-semilattices with least elements. Let  $T$  also be a join-semilattice.

Let  $F(X)$  mean free join-semilattice for a set  $X$ .

DEFINITION 2195. **SepJoin** $(\prod \mathfrak{A}, T)$  is the set of maps from  $\prod \mathfrak{A}$  to  $T$ , preserving joins in every argument  $i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}$ .

OBVIOUS 2196. The set of free join-semilattices  $F(X)$  is order-isomorphic to the set of subsets  $X$  of a “universal” set  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Let  $i : \prod \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow F(\prod \mathfrak{A})$  be the universal embedding.

Let  $\sim$  be defined as the smallest equivalence relation on  $F(\prod \mathfrak{A})$  that for every  $k \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $L \in \prod_{i \in (\text{dom } \mathfrak{A}) \setminus \{k\}} \mathfrak{A}_i$ :

- 1°.  $i(L \cup \{(k, g \sqcup h)\}) \sim i(L \cup \{(k, g)\}) \sqcup i(L \cup \{(k, h)\})$ ;
- 2°.  $\perp \sim i(L \cup \{(k, \perp)\})$ ;
- 3°.  $x \sim y \wedge x' \sim y' \Rightarrow x \sqcup x' \sim y \sqcup y'$  for all  $x, y, x', y' \in F(\prod \mathfrak{A})$ .

OBVIOUS 2197. Some function  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  induces a well defined map  $\psi : X/E \rightarrow Y$  on equivalence classes, if  $E \subseteq F$  where  $x F y \Leftrightarrow hx = hy$ .

LEMMA 2198. The set of join-homomorphisms  $\psi : F(\prod \mathfrak{A})/\sim \rightarrow T$  is isomorphic to the set of maps  $\phi : \prod \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow T$  preserving finite joins in separate arguments.

PROOF. The quotient map  $q : F(\prod \mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow F(\prod \mathfrak{A})/\sim$  which takes an element  $x$  to its equivalence class  $[x]$  map is well defined because

$$x \sim y \wedge x' \sim y' \Rightarrow x \sqcup x' \sim y \sqcup y'.$$

The map  $q$  preserves join.  $F(\prod \mathfrak{A})/\sim$  is associative, commutative, and idempotent since it is so on  $F(\prod \mathfrak{A})$  and thus is a join-semilattice.

Let join-preserving map  $\psi : F(\prod \mathfrak{A})/\sim \rightarrow T$ . It is easy to show that  $\psi \circ q \circ i$  preserves joins in separate arguments.

Let now  $\phi : \prod \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow T$  preserves joins in separate arguments. There is a unique join-preserving map  $\tilde{\phi} : F(\prod \mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow T$  such that  $\tilde{\phi} \circ i = \phi$ . We must show that this induces a well-defined join-preserving map  $\psi : F(\prod \mathfrak{A})/\sim \rightarrow T$  such that  $\psi(q(x)) = \tilde{\phi}(x)$  for all  $x \in F(\prod \mathfrak{A})$  (clearly at most one function  $\psi$  can satisfy this equation since  $q$  is surjective). This will show that  $\psi$  bijectively correspond to  $\tilde{\phi}$  and thus bijectively correspond to  $\phi$ . (This will finish the proof as that this bijection is monotone is obvious.)

Using the “obvious” above, it’s enough (taking into account that  $\sim$  is the minimal equivalence relation subject to the above formulas) to prove that:

- 1°.  $\tilde{\phi}(i(L \cup \{(k, g \sqcup h)\})) = \tilde{\phi}(i(L \cup \{(k, g)\}) \sqcup i(L \cup \{(k, h)\}))$ ;
- 2°.  $\tilde{\phi}(\perp) = \tilde{\phi}(i(L \cup \{(k, \perp)\}))$ ;
- 3°.  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \tilde{\phi}(y) \wedge \tilde{\phi}(x') = \tilde{\phi}(y') \Rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(x \sqcup x') = \tilde{\phi}(y \sqcup y')$

The first easily follows from  $\tilde{\phi} \circ i = \phi$  and the fact that  $\tilde{\phi}$  preserves binary joins.

The second easily follows from  $\tilde{\phi} \circ i = \phi$  and that  $\phi$  preserves  $\perp$ .

The third follows from the fact that  $\tilde{\phi}$  preserves joins. □

COROLLARY 2199. The poset of prestaroids  $\mathbf{preStrd}(\mathfrak{A})$  is isomorphic to an ideal (on a join-semilattice), provided that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an indexed family of join-semilattices.

PROOF.  $\mathbf{preStrd}(\mathfrak{A}) \cong \mathbf{SepJoin}(\mathfrak{A}, 2) \cong F(\prod \mathfrak{A}) / \sim \rightarrow 2 \cong \mathfrak{J}(F(\prod \mathfrak{A}) / \sim)$ . □

**FiXme:** Check below (especially posets vs dual posets) for errors.

COROLLARY 2200.  $\mathbf{preStrd}$  is a complete lattice.

PROOF. Corollary 518. □

COROLLARY 2201.  $\mathbf{preStrd}$  is a filtered filtrator.

PROOF. Theorem 534. □

**FiXme:** Try to prove that  $\mathbf{preStrd}$  is atomic and moreover atomistic (under certain conditions). Other properties?

## Mappings between endofunctors and topological spaces

Order topologies reversely to set-theoretic inclusion. That is for topologies  $t$  and  $s$  we set  $t \sqsubseteq s \Leftrightarrow t \supseteq s$ . (Intuitively: The less is the topology, the lesser are its open sets.)

Let's study mappings between topological spaces and endofunctors.

DEFINITION 2202. Let  $t$  be a topology.

- 1°.  $F^*t = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Ob } t} (\{x\} \times \prod^{\mathcal{F}} \{ \frac{E \subseteq t}{x \in E} \})$ ;
- 2°.  $(F_*t)E = \bigcap \left\{ \frac{D \subseteq t}{E \subseteq D} \right\}$ .

PROPOSITION 2203. Let  $t$  be a topology.

- 1°.  $F^*t$  is complete, reflexive, transitive functor.
- 2°.  $F_*t$  is co-complete, reflexive, transitive functor.
- 3°.  $F^*$  and  $F_*$  are injections.
- 4°.  $F_*t = (F^*t)^{-1}$ .

PROOF. By theorem 788. □

DEFINITION 2204. Let  $f$  be an endofunctor.

$$Tf = \left\{ \frac{E \in \mathcal{P} \text{Ob } f}{\forall x \in E : \langle f \rangle \{x\} \sqsubseteq E} \right\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2205.  $Tf$  is a topology.

PROOF. Union of open sets is open.  $S \subseteq Tf \Rightarrow \forall E \in S \forall x \in E : \langle f \rangle x \sqsubseteq E \Rightarrow \forall x \in \bigcup S : \langle f \rangle x \sqsubseteq \bigcup S$

Intersection of two open sets is open. Let  $X, Y \in Tf$ . Then  $\forall x \in X : \langle f \rangle x \sqsubseteq X$  and  $\forall x \in Y : \langle f \rangle x \sqsubseteq Y$ . So if  $x \in X \cap Y$  then  $\langle f \rangle x \sqsubseteq X$  and  $\langle f \rangle x \sqsubseteq Y$ , so  $\langle f \rangle x \sqsubseteq X \cap Y$ . So  $X \cap Y \in Tf$ .

$\text{Ob } f$  is an open set. Obvious. □

OBVIOUS 2206.  $Tf = \left\{ \frac{E \in \mathcal{P} \text{Ob } f}{\langle \text{CoCompl } f \rangle E \sqsubseteq E} \right\}$ .

In some reason when starting this research I assumed that the following functor (for every endofunctor  $f$ ) is a Kuratowski closure:

$$1 \sqcup \text{CoCompl } f \sqcup (\text{CoCompl } f)^2 \sqcup \dots$$

It is not true:

EXAMPLE 2207. There exists such a co-complete endofunctor  $f$  that  $1 \sqcup f \sqcup f^2 \sqcup \dots$  is not transitive that is

$$(1 \sqcup f \sqcup f^2 \sqcup \dots) \circ (1 \sqcup f \sqcup f^2 \sqcup \dots) \neq 1 \sqcup f \sqcup f^2 \sqcup \dots$$

PROOF. Take  $f = \text{cl} \circ g$  where  $g$  is the principal funcoid which maps every real number  $a$  into the closed interval  $\left[\frac{-1-|a|}{2}; \frac{1+|a|}{2}\right]$ .

Take  $X = \left[-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}\right]$ .  $\langle f^n \rangle^* X = \left[-1 + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}; 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right]$ .

We have  $\langle 1 \sqcup f \sqcup f^2 \sqcup \dots \rangle^* X = ]-1; 1[$ ;

$\langle 1 \sqcup f \sqcup f^2 \sqcup \dots \rangle^* \langle 1 \sqcup f \sqcup f^2 \sqcup \dots \rangle^* X = [-1; 1]$ .

Thus follows our inequality.  $\square$

That  $F^*$  and  $F_*$  are functors (if we map morphisms to themselves except of changing the objects) follows from conjecture 1178.

THEOREM 2208.  $T$  (if we map morphisms to themselves except of changing the objects) is a functor.

PROOF. Based on <https://math.stackexchange.com/a/2792239/4876>

Let  $f : \mu \rightarrow \nu$  that is  $f \circ \mu \sqsubseteq \nu \circ f$ . We need to prove  $f : T\mu \rightarrow T\nu$  that is  $E \in T\nu \Rightarrow \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* E \in T\mu$ .

Suppose  $E \in T\nu$  that is  $\langle \nu \rangle^* E \sqsubseteq E$ . We will prove  $\langle \mu \rangle^* \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* E \sqsubseteq \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* E$ .

FiXme: Can we use arbitrary filters rather than atoms?

Really, let atom  $y \sqsubseteq \langle \mu \rangle^* \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* E$ . Then there exists atom  $x \sqsubseteq \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* E$  such that  $x [\mu]^* y$ .

$x [f \circ \mu]^* \langle f \rangle y$  and thus  $x [\nu \circ f]^* \langle f \rangle y$ , so  $\langle f \rangle x [\nu]^* \langle f \rangle y$ . But  $\langle f \rangle x \sqsubseteq E$ , so  $\langle f \rangle y \sqsubseteq \langle \nu \rangle^* E \sqsubseteq E$ , that is  $\langle \mu \rangle^* \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* E \sqsubseteq E$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2209.  $f \in C(\mu, \nu) \Rightarrow f \in C(\mu^n, \nu^n)$  for every endofuncoids  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  and positive natural number  $n$ . FiXme: Move this proposition to the book.

PROOF.  $f \circ \mu \sqsubseteq \nu \circ f$ ;  $f \circ \mu \circ \mu \sqsubseteq \nu \circ f \circ \mu$ ;  $f \circ \mu^2 \sqsubseteq \nu^2 \circ f$ ;  $f \circ \mu^3 \sqsubseteq \nu^3 \circ f \dots$   $\square$

PROPOSITION 2210. For every endofuncoid  $\mu$ :

- 1°.  $F_* T\mu \sqsupseteq \text{Compl } \mu$ ;
- 2°.  $F^* T\mu \sqsupseteq \text{Compl } \mu$ ;
- 3°.  $F_* T\mu \sqsupseteq \text{CoCompl } \mu$ ;
- 4°.  $F^* T\mu \sqsupseteq \text{CoCompl } \mu$ ;

PROOF. We will prove only the first two as the rest are dual.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F_* T\mu \rangle^* E &= \bigcap \left\{ \frac{D \in T\mu}{D \supseteq E} \right\} = \bigcap \left\{ \frac{D \in \mathcal{O} \text{Ob } \mu}{\langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* D \sqsubseteq D \wedge D \supseteq E} \right\} \sqsupseteq \\ \bigcap \left\{ \frac{\langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* D}{D \in \mathcal{O} \text{Ob } \mu, \langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* D \sqsubseteq D \wedge D \supseteq E} \right\} &\sqsupseteq \langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* E. \\ \langle F^* T\mu \rangle^* \{x\} &= \bigcap^{\mathcal{F}} \left\{ \frac{E \in T\mu}{x \in E} \right\} = \bigcap^{\mathcal{F}} \left\{ \frac{E \in \text{Ob } \mu}{x \in E, \langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* E \sqsubseteq E} \right\} \sqsupseteq \\ \bigcap^{\mathcal{F}} \left\{ \frac{\langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* E}{E \in \text{Ob } \mu, x \in E, \langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* E \sqsubseteq E} \right\} &\sqsupseteq \langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* \{x\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2211. For every endofuncoid  $\mu$ :

- 1°.  $F_* T\mu \sqsubseteq 1 \sqcup \text{Compl } \mu \sqcup (\text{Compl } \mu)^2 \sqcup \dots$ ;
- 2°.  $F^* T\mu \sqsubseteq 1 \sqcup \text{CoCompl } \mu \sqcup (\text{CoCompl } \mu)^2 \sqcup \dots$

PROOF. We will prove only the first as the second is dual.

$\langle 1 \sqcup \text{Compl } \mu \sqcup (\text{Compl } \mu)^2 \sqcup \dots \rangle^* E = E \sqcup \langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* E \sqcup \langle (\text{Compl } \mu)^2 \rangle^* E \sqcup \dots$

Take  $D = E \sqcup \langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* E \sqcup \langle (\text{Compl } \mu)^2 \rangle^* E \sqcup \dots$ . We have  $\langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* D \sqsubseteq \langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* E \sqcup \langle (\text{Compl } \mu)^2 \rangle^* E \sqcup \dots \sqsubseteq D$ . So

$$\bigcap \left\{ \frac{D \in \mathcal{O} \text{Ob } \mu}{\langle \text{Compl } \mu \rangle^* D \sqsubseteq D \wedge D \supseteq E} \right\} \sqsubseteq D \sqsubseteq \langle 1 \sqcup \text{Compl } \mu \sqcup (\text{Compl } \mu)^2 \sqcup \dots \rangle^* E. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 2212. If we restrict the functor  $T$  only to complete endofuncoids (= complete endoreloids), then  $T$  is a left adjoint of both  $F_*$  and  $F^*$ .

PROOF. We will prove only for  $F_*$  as the other is dual.

We will disprove  $f \in C(T\mu, s) \Leftrightarrow f \in C(\mu, F_*s)$  what is equivalent (because  $F_*$  is full and faithful) to

$$f \in C(F_*T\mu, F_*s) \Leftrightarrow f \in C(\mu, F_*s);$$

$$F_*T\mu \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f \Leftrightarrow \mu \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f.$$

$$F_*T\mu \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f \Rightarrow \mu \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f \text{ because } F_*T\mu \supseteq \mu.$$

If  $\mu \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f$  then  $\mu^n \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ (F_*s)^n \circ f = f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f$ . Also obviously  $1 \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f$ . Thus

$$1 \sqcup \mu \sqcup \mu^2 \sqcup \dots \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f$$

and so  $1 \sqcup \text{Compl } \mu \sqcup (\text{Compl } \mu)^2 \sqcup \dots \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f$ . So  $F_*T\mu \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f$ .  $\square$

**FiXme:**  $F$  and  $T$  are also a Galois connection, isn't it?

EXAMPLE 2213.  $T$  is a not left adjoint of both  $F_*$  and  $F^*$ , with bijection which preserves the “function” part of the morphism.

PROOF. We will disprove only from  $F_*$  as the other is dual.

We will disprove  $f \in C(T\mu, s) \Leftrightarrow f \in C(\mu, F_*s)$  what is equivalent (because  $F_*$  is full and faithful) to

$$f \in C(F_*T\mu, F_*s) \Leftrightarrow f \in C(\mu, F_*s);$$

$$F_*T\mu \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f \Leftrightarrow \mu \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ F_*s \circ f.$$

This equivalence does not hold: Take  $s$  the discrete space on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $f = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , and  $\langle \mu \rangle^* X = X$  for finite sets  $X$  and  $\langle \mu \rangle^* X = \top$  for infinite  $X$ .  $\square$

## Funcoids as closed sets

Idea [6] by TODD TRIMBLE.

FiXme: <https://ncatlab.org/toddtrimble/published/topogeny> and <https://math.stackexchange.com/q/2681502/4876>

FiXme: [What about the infinite products?](#)

THEOREM 2214. The set of staroids  $\mathcal{P}X_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}X_n \rightarrow 2$  is order isomorphic to co-frame of closed subsets of topological product  $\beta X_1 \times \cdots \times \beta X_n$ .

PROOF.  $\mathcal{P}X_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}X_n \rightarrow 2$  can be order-embedded to the frame of ideals  $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{P}X_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}X_n)$  what is dual (check!) to the frame of ideals of the distributive lattice  $\mathcal{P}X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}X_n$ . This by ?? is the coproduct  $\sum_i \mathcal{P}X_i$  in the category of boolean algebras. By Stone duality it is isomorphic to the topology of it spectrum  $\beta X_1 \times \cdots \times \beta X_n$ .  $\square$

Elements of  $\beta X_1 \times \cdots \times \beta X_n$  are closed subsets. So every  $n$ -staroid one-to-one corresponds to a closed set of  $\beta X_1 \times \cdots \times \beta X_n$ .

Note that  $\beta X_1 \times \cdots \times \beta X_n$  is a compact Hausdorff space (as a product of compact Hausdorff spaces).

It seems that there is an easy way to describe the above order embedding in both directions:

$$f \mapsto \left\{ \frac{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}}, x_1 \times^{\text{FCD}} \dots \times^{\text{FCD}} x_n \sqsubseteq f} \right\};$$

$$X \mapsto \bigsqcup \left\{ \frac{p_1 \times^{\text{FCD}} \dots \times^{\text{FCD}} p_n}{p \in X} \right\}.$$

FiXme: Try to prove that composition of funcoids is isomorphic to composition of relations  $\beta A \times \beta B$ .



## Categories related with functors

I consider some categories related with pointfree functors.

### 1. Draft status

This is a rough partial draft.

### 2. Topic of this article

In this article are considered some categories related to *pointfree functors*.

### 3. Category of continuous morphisms

I will denote  $\text{Ob } f$  the object (source and destination) of an endomorphism  $f$ .

DEFINITION 2215. Let  $C$  is a partially ordered category. The category  $\text{cont}(C)$  (which I call *the category of continuous morphism over C*) is:

- Objects are endomorphisms of category  $C$ .
- Morphisms are triples  $(f, a, b)$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are objects and  $f : \text{Ob } a \rightarrow \text{Ob } b$  is a morphism of the category  $C$  such that  $f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f$ .
- Composition of morphisms is defined by the formula  $(g, b, c) \circ (f, a, b) = (g \circ f, a, c)$ .
- Identity morphisms are  $(a, a, 1_a^C)$ .

It is really a category:

PROOF. We need to prove that: composition of morphisms is a morphism, composition is associative, and identity morphisms can be canceled on the left and on the right.

That composition of morphisms is a morphism follows from these implications:

$$f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f \wedge g \circ b \sqsubseteq c \circ g \Rightarrow g \circ f \circ a \sqsubseteq g \circ b \circ f \sqsubseteq c \circ g \circ f.$$

That composition is associative is obvious.

That identity morphisms can be canceled on the left and on the right is obvious.  $\square$

REMARK 2216. The “physical” meaning of this category is:

- Objects (endomorphisms of  $C$ ) are spaces.
- Morphisms are continuous functions between spaces.
- $f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f$  intuitively means that  $f$  combined with an infinitely small is less than infinitely small combined with  $f$  (that is  $f$  is continuous).

REMARK 2217. Every  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  of  $\mathbf{Pos}$  is partially ordered by the formula  $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \mathfrak{A} : a(x) \leq b(x)$ . So  $\text{cont}(\mathbf{Pos})$  is defined.

DEFINITION 2218. I call a  $\mathbf{Pos}$ -morphism *monovalued* when it maps atoms to atoms or least element.

DEFINITION 2219. I call a  $\mathbf{Pos}$ -morphism *entirely defined* when its value is non-least on every non-least element.

OBVIOUS 2220. A morphism is both monovalued and entirely defined iff it maps atoms into atoms.

**FiXme:** Show how it relates with dagger categories.

DEFINITION 2221. **mePos** is the subcategory of **Pos** with only monovalued and entirely defined morphisms.

OBVIOUS 2222. This is a well defined category.

DEFINITION 2223. **mefpFCD** is the subcategory of **fpFCD** with only monovalued and entirely defined morphisms.

REMARK 2224. In the two above definitions different definitions of monovaluedness and entire definedness from different articles.

#### 4. Definition of the categories

DEFINITION 2225. A (*pointfree*) *endo-funcoïd* is a (pointfree) funcoïd with the same source and destination (an endomorphism of the category of (pointfree) funcoïds). I will denote  $\text{Ob } f$  the object of an endomorphism  $f$ .

OBVIOUS 2226. The *category of continuous pointfree funcoïds*  $\text{cont}(\mathbf{fpFCD})$  is:

- Objects are small pointfree endo-funcoïds.
- Morphisms from an object  $a$  to an object  $b$  are triples  $(f, a, b)$  where  $f$  is a pointfree funcoïd from  $\text{Ob } a$  to  $\text{Ob } b$  such that  $f$  is a continuous morphism from  $a$  to  $b$  (that is  $f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f$ , or equivalently  $a \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ b \circ f$ , or equivalently  $f \circ a \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq f$ ).
- Composition is the composition of pointfree funcoïds.
- Identity for an object  $a$  is  $(I_{\text{Ob } a}^{\text{FCD}}, a, a)$ .

#### 5. Isomorphisms

THEOREM 2227. If  $f$  is an isomorphism  $a \rightarrow b$  of the category  $\text{cont}(\mathbf{fpFCD})$ , then:

- 1°.  $f \circ a = b \circ f$ ;
- 2°.  $a = f^{-1} \circ b \circ f$ ;
- 3°.  $f \circ a \circ f^{-1} = b$ .

PROOF. Note that  $f$  is monovalued and entirely defined.

1. We have  $f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f$  and  $f^{-1} \circ b \sqsubseteq a \circ f^{-1}$ . Consequently  $f^{-1} \circ f \circ a \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ b \circ f$ ;  $a \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ b \circ f$ ;  $a \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ b \circ f \circ f^{-1}$ ;  $a \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ b$ . Similarly  $b \circ f \sqsubseteq f \circ a$ . So  $f \circ a = b \circ f$ .

2 and 3. Follow from the definition of isomorphism.  $\square$

Isomorphisms are meant to preserve structure of objects. I will show that (under certain conditions) isomorphisms of  $\text{cont}(\mathbf{fpFCD})$  really preserve structure of objects.

First we will consider an isomorphism between objects  $a$  and  $b$  which are funcoïds (not the general case of pointfree funcoïds). In this case a map which preserves structure of objects is a *bijection*. It is really a bijection as the following theorem says:

THEOREM 2228. If  $f$  is an isomorphism of the category of funcoïds then  $f$  is a discrete funcoïd (so, it is essentially a bijection). **FiXme:** Split it into two propositions: about completeness and co-completeness.

PROOF.  $\langle f \rangle^* A \sqcap \langle f \rangle^* ((\text{Src } f) \setminus A) = 0^{\text{Dst } f}$  because  $f$  is monovalued.  
 $\langle f \rangle^* A \sqcup \langle f \rangle^* ((\text{Src } f) \setminus A) = 1^{\text{Dst } f}$ .

Therefore  $\langle f \rangle^* A$  is a principal filter (theorem 49 in [4]). So  $f$  is co-complete.  
 That  $f$  is complete follows from symmetry.  $\square$

For wider class of pointfree functors the concept of bijection does not make sense. Instead we would want a structure preserving map to be *order isomorphism*.

Actually, for mapping between  $\mathcal{P}A$  and  $\mathcal{P}B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are some sets (including the above considered case of functors from  $A$  to  $B$ ) bijection and order isomorphism are essentially the same:

PROPOSITION 2229. Bijections  $F$  between sets  $A$  and  $B$  bijectively correspond to order isomorphisms  $f$  between  $\mathcal{P}A$  and  $\mathcal{P}B$  by the formula  $f = \langle F \rangle$ .

PROOF. Let  $F$  is a bijection. Then  $X \subseteq Y \Rightarrow \langle F \rangle X \subseteq \langle F \rangle Y$  and  $\langle F^{-1} \rangle \langle F \rangle X = X$  for every sets  $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}A$ . Thus  $f = \langle F \rangle$  is an order isomorphism.

Let now  $f$  is an order isomorphism between  $\mathcal{P}A$  and  $\mathcal{P}B$ . Then  $f(\{x\})$  is a singleton for every  $x \in A$ . Take  $F(x)$  to the unique  $y$  such that  $f(\{x\}) = \{y\}$ . Obviously  $f$  is a bijection and  $f = \langle F \rangle$ .  $\square$

For arbitrary pointfree functors isomorphisms do not necessarily preserve structure. It holds only for *increasing pointfree functors*:

DEFINITION 2230. I call a pointfree functor  $f$  *increasing* iff  $\langle f \rangle$  and  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle$  are monotone functions.

PROPOSITION 2231. If  $f$  is an increasing isomorphism of the category of pointfree functors then  $\langle f \rangle$  is an order isomorphism.

PROOF. We have:  $\langle f \rangle \circ \langle f^{-1} \rangle = \langle f \circ f^{-1} \rangle = \langle \text{id}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\text{FCD}} \rangle = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{B}}$  and  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle \circ \langle f \rangle = \langle f^{-1} \circ f \rangle = \langle \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\text{FCD}} \rangle = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ . Thus  $\langle f \rangle$  is a bijection.

$\langle f \rangle$  is increasing and bijective.  $\square$

REMARK 2232. Non-increasing isomorphisms of the category of pointfree functors are against sound mind, they don't preserve the structure of the source, that is for them  $\langle f \rangle$  or  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle$  are not order isomorphisms.

OBVIOUS 2233. Isomorphisms of  $\text{cont}(\mathbf{Pos})$  and  $\text{cont}(\mathbf{mePos})$  are order isomorphisms.

## 6. Direct products

**FiXme:** Now this section is a complete mess. Clean it up.

Consider the category  $\mathbf{contFcd}$  which is the full subcategory  $\text{cont}(\mathbf{mePos})$  restricted to objects which are essentially increasing pointfree functors.

Let  $f_1 : Y \rightarrow X_1$  and  $f_2 : Y \rightarrow X_2$  are morphisms of  $\mathbf{contFcd}$ .

The product object is  $X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2$  (cross composition product of functors used). It is easy to see that  $X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2$  is an object of  $\mathbf{contFcd}$  that is an endo-functor.

The morphism  $f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2 : Y \rightarrow X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2$  is defined by the formula  $(f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2)y = f_1 y \times^{\text{FCD}} f_2 y$ .

$f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2$  is monovalued and entirely defined because so are  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

$$(f_1 \times^{(D2)} f_2)y = \bigcup \{f_1 Y \times^{\text{FCD}} f_2 Y \mid Y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} y\}.$$

**FiXme:** Is  $(f_1 \times^{(D2)} f_2)$  a pointfree functor?

To prove that it is really a morphism we need to show

$$(f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2) \circ Y \sqsubseteq (X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2) \circ (f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2)$$

that is (for every  $y$ )

$$(f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2)Yy \subseteq (X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2)(f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2)y.$$

Really,  $(f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2)Yy = f_1Yy \times^{\text{FCD}} f_2Yy$ ;

$$(X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2)(f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2)y = (X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2)(f_1y \times^{\text{FCD}} f_2y) = X_1f_1y \times^{\text{FCD}} X_2f_2y;$$

but it is easy to show  $f_1Yy \times^{\text{FCD}} f_2Yy \subseteq X_1f_1y \times^{\text{FCD}} X_2f_2y$ .

??

I define ??

**Fixme:** Prove that it is a direct product in **contFcd**.

## Product of functors over a filter

The following definition is inspired by the usual definition of Tychonoff product of topological spaces.

DEFINITION 2234. Let  $f$  be an indexed family of functors. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $\text{dom } f$ .

$$a \left[ \prod^{[\mathcal{F}]} f \right] b \Leftrightarrow \exists N \in \mathcal{F} \forall i \in N : \Pr_i^{\text{RLD}} a [f_i] \Pr_i^{\text{RLD}} b$$

for atomic relocks  $a$  and  $b$ .

REMARK 2235. We are especially interested in the special case when  $\mathcal{F}$  is the cofinite filter. In this case  $a \left[ \prod^{[\mathcal{F}]} f \right] b$  is defined by the condition that  $\Pr_i^{\text{RLD}} a [f_i] \Pr_i^{\text{RLD}} b$  for an infinite number of indexes  $i$ .

$$\text{OBVIOUS 2236. } a \left[ \prod^{[\Gamma^{\mathcal{F}(\text{dom } f)}]} f \right] b \Leftrightarrow a \left[ \prod^{(A)} f \right] b.$$

PROPOSITION 2237.  $\neg(\mathcal{X} [f] \mathcal{Y})$  implies  $\neg(X [f] Y)$  for some  $X \in \text{up } \mathcal{X}$ ,  $Y \in \text{up } \mathcal{Y}$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $\neg(\mathcal{X} [f] \mathcal{Y})$ . Then  $\mathcal{Y} \asymp \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X}$ . Thus by separability of core for filters  $Y \asymp \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X}$  for some  $Y \in \text{up } \mathcal{Y}$ , that is  $\neg(\mathcal{X} [f] Y)$ . Apply this result twice.  $\square$

LEMMA 2238.

$$\forall X \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{up } a_i, Y \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{up } b_i \exists x \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{atoms } \uparrow X_i, y \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{atoms } \uparrow Y_i \exists N \in \mathcal{F} \forall j \in N : x_j [f_j] y_j$$

implies  $\exists N \in \mathcal{F} \forall i \in N : a_i [f_i] b_i$ .

PROOF. Suppose for the contrary  $\neg(a_i [f_i] b_i)$  for all  $i \in N$  where  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  (i.e. for an infinite number of indexes if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the cofinite filter). Then (lemma above) there are  $X_i \in \text{up } a_i$  and  $Y_i \in \text{up } b_i$  such that  $\neg(X_i [f_i]^* Y_i)$  for  $i \in N$ . Thus  $\neg(x_i [f_i] y_i)$  for  $i \in N$ , contrary to the condition.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2239. The functor  $\prod^{[\mathcal{F}]} f$  exists.

PROOF. We need to prove that

$$\forall X \in \text{up } a, Y \in \text{up } b \exists x \in \text{atoms } \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} X, y \in \text{atoms } \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} Y : x \left[ \prod^{(A2)} f \right] y$$

implies  $a \left[ \prod^{[\mathcal{F}]} f \right] b$ .

Equivalently transforming it: **FiXme: More detailed proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall X \in \text{up } a, Y \in \text{up } b \exists x \in \text{atoms } \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} X, y \in \text{atoms } \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} Y \\ & \exists N \in \mathcal{F} \forall i \in N : \Pr_i^{\text{RLD}} x [f_i] \Pr_i^{\text{RLD}} y; \\ & \forall X \in \text{up } a, Y \in \text{up } b \exists x \in \prod_{i \in \text{dom } f} \text{atoms } \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} X_i, y \in \prod_{i \in \text{dom } f} \text{atoms } \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} Y_i \\ & \exists N \in \mathcal{F} \forall i \in N : x_i [f_i] y_i; \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall X \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{up } a_i, Y \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{up } b_i \exists x \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{atoms } \uparrow X_i, y \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{atoms } \uparrow Y_i \exists N \in \mathcal{F} \forall j \in N : x_j [f_j] y_j$$

where  $D = \text{dom } f$ .

Thus by the lemma  $\exists N \in \mathcal{F} \forall i \in N : a_i [f_i] b_i$ , that is  $a \left[ \prod^{[\mathcal{F}]} f \right] b$ .  $\square$

**FiXme:** TODO: when  $\text{Pr}_j \prod_{i \in D}^{[\mathcal{F}]} a_i = a_j$ ?

### 1. More on product of reloids

**FiXme:** Move this to a more appropriate place.

DEFINITION 2240.  $\prod_{i \in \text{dom } f}^{(Y)} f = \prod_{i \in \text{dom } f}^{(A)} (\text{FCD})f$  for an indexed family  $f$  of reloids.

PROPOSITION 2241.

$$a \left[ \prod_{i \in \text{dom } f}^{(Y)} f \right] b \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in \text{dom } f : f_i \not\prec_{\text{Pr}_i}^{\text{RLD}} a \times^{\text{RLD}} \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} b.$$

PROOF.  $f_i \not\prec_{\text{Pr}_i}^{\text{RLD}} a \times^{\text{FCD}} \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} b \Leftrightarrow (\text{FCD})f_i \sqsupseteq \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} a \times^{\text{FCD}} \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} b \Leftrightarrow a \left[ (\text{FCD})f_i \right] b$ .  $\square$

EXAMPLE 2242. The functor  $p$  described by the formula (for atomic reloids  $a$  and  $b$ )

$$a p b \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in \text{dom } f : f_i \sqsupseteq \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} a \times^{\text{RLD}} \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} b$$

does not exist (in general), even if we restrict to 2-indexed families only.

PROOF. For the case if  $f = \llbracket v, w \rrbracket$  is a 2-indexed family of reloids, the formula which we need to disprove takes the form:

$$a p b \Leftrightarrow v \sqsupseteq \text{dom } a \times^{\text{RLD}} \text{dom } b \wedge w \sqsupseteq \text{im } a \times^{\text{RLD}} \text{im } b.$$

Take  $v = w = 1^{\mathbf{Rel}}$  on an infinite set. Suppose for the contrary  $p$  exists and is a functor. Then

$$\forall X \in \text{up } a, Y \in \text{up } b \exists x \in \text{atoms } \uparrow X, y \in \text{atoms } \uparrow Y : x p y \Rightarrow a p b.$$

For a counter-example take  $a = b$  to be a nontrivial ultrafilter. Then for every  $X \in \text{up } a, Y \in \text{up } b$  take  $x = y$  to be singletons on  $X \cap Y$ . We have  $x p y$ , but not  $a p b$ .  $\square$

## Compact funcoids

Compact funcoids are defined. Attempted to prove that under certain conditions the reloid corresponding to a compact funcoid is the neighborhood of the diagonal of the product funcoid.

This is a rough partial draft. The proofs are with errors.

**FiXme:** The below examples also show that subatomic product does not coincide with Tychonoff product.

### 1. The rest

DEFINITION 2243. A funcoid  $f$  is *directly compact* iff

$$\forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{F} : (\langle f \rangle \mathcal{F} \neq \perp \Rightarrow \text{Cor} \langle f \rangle \mathcal{F} \neq \perp).$$

OBVIOUS 2244. A funcoid  $f$  is directly compact iff  $\forall a \in \text{atoms dom } f : \text{Cor} \langle f \rangle a \neq \perp$ .

OBVIOUS 2245. A reflexive funcoid  $f$  is directly compact iff

$$\forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{F} : (\mathcal{F} \neq \perp \Rightarrow \text{Cor} \langle f \rangle \mathcal{F} \neq \perp).$$

DEFINITION 2246. A funcoid  $f$  is *reversely compact* iff  $f^{-1}$  is directly compact.

DEFINITION 2247. A funcoid is *compact* iff it is both directly compact and reversely compact.

PROPOSITION 2248.  $\prod^{\text{RLD}} a = \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} \prod_{i \in \text{dom } a} (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}})^{-1} a_i$  for every indexed family  $a$  of principal filters.

PROOF. Because  $\prod_{i \in \text{dom } a} (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}})^{-1} a_i \in \text{up } \prod^{\text{RLD}} a$ . **FiXme:** More detailed proof.  $\square$

LEMMA 2249.  $\prod_{i \in \text{dom } a}^{\text{RLD}} \text{Cor } a_i = \text{Cor } \prod^{\text{RLD}} a$ .

PROOF.  $\text{Cor } \prod^{\text{RLD}} a = \prod \{ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} \prod A \mid A \in \text{up } a \} = \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} \bigcap \{ \prod A \mid A \in \mathcal{P} \prod \mathfrak{A}, \forall i \in \text{dom } a : A_i \in \text{up } a_i \} = \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} \bigcap \{ \prod \bigcap K_i \mid K \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{P} \prod \mathfrak{A}, \forall i \in \text{dom } a : K_i \in \mathcal{P} \text{up } a_i \} = \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} \bigcap \{ \prod (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}})^{-1} \text{Cor } a_i \mid i \in \text{dom } a \} = \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} \prod_{i \in \text{dom } a}^{\text{RLD}} \text{Cor } a_i$ .

**FiXme:** Check for little errors.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2250.  $\prod_{i \in n}^{\text{RLD}} \langle \text{CoCompl } f_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i = \langle \text{CoCompl } \prod^{(A)} f \rangle \prod^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{X}$  for every  $n$ -indexed families  $f$  of funcoids and  $\mathcal{X}$  of filters on the same set (with  $\text{Src } f_i = \text{Base}(\mathcal{X}_i)$  for every  $i \in n$ ).

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i \in n}^{\text{RLD}} \langle \text{CoCompl } f_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i &= \\
\prod_{i \in n}^{\text{RLD}} \text{Cor} \langle f_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i &= \\
\text{Cor} \prod_{i \in n}^{\text{RLD}} \langle f_i \rangle \mathcal{X}_i &= (*) \\
\text{Cor} \prod_{i \in n}^{\text{RLD}} \langle f_i \rangle \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} \left( \prod_{i \in n}^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{X}_i \right) &= \\
\text{Cor} \left\langle \prod_{i \in n}^{(A)} f_i \right\rangle \prod_{i \in n}^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{X}_i &= \\
\left\langle \text{CoCompl} \prod_{i \in n}^{(A)} f_i \right\rangle \prod_{i \in n}^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{X}_i. &
\end{aligned}$$

(\*) You should verify the special case when  $\mathcal{X}_i = \perp^{\mathfrak{F}}$  for some  $i$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2251.** Let  $f$  be an indexed family of funcoids. **FiXme: Reverse theorem (for non-least funcoids).**

- 1°.  $\prod f$  is directly compact if every  $f_i$  is directly compact.
- 2°.  $\prod f$  is reversely compact if every  $f_i$  is reversely compact.
- 3°.  $\prod f$  is compact if every  $f_i$  is compact.

PROOF. It is enough to prove only the first statement.

Let each  $f_i$  is directly compact.

Let  $\langle \prod f \rangle a \neq \perp$ . Then  $\langle \prod f \rangle a = \langle \prod^{(A)} f \rangle a = \prod_{i \in \text{dom } f}^{\text{RLD}} \langle f_i \rangle \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} a$ . Thus every  $\langle f_i \rangle \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} a \neq \perp$ . Consequently by compactness  $\text{Cor} \langle f_i \rangle \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} a \neq \perp$ ;  $\prod_{i \in \text{dom } f} \text{Cor} \langle f_i \rangle \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} a \neq \perp$ ;  $\text{Cor} \prod_{i \in \text{dom } f} \langle f_i \rangle \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} a \neq \perp$ ;  $\text{Cor} \langle \prod f \rangle a \neq \perp$ .

So  $\prod f$  is directly compact.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2252.** The following expressions are pairwise equal:

- 1°.  $\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle * 1^{\text{RLD}}$ ;
- 2°.  $\sqcup \left\{ \frac{\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle p}{p \in \text{atoms } 1^{\text{RLD}}} \right\}$ ;
- 3°.  $\sqcup \left\{ \frac{\langle f \rangle x \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f \rangle x}{x \in \text{atoms } \mathfrak{F}} \right\}$ ;

PROOF.

1°  $\Leftrightarrow$  2°. Theorem 875.

2°  $\Leftrightarrow$  3°.  $\sqcup \left\{ \frac{\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle p}{p \in \text{atoms } 1^{\text{RLD}}} \right\} = \sqcup \left\{ \frac{\langle f \rangle \text{dom } p \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f \rangle \text{im } p}{p \in \text{atoms } 1^{\text{RLD}}} \right\} = \sqcup \left\{ \frac{\langle f \rangle x \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f \rangle x}{x \in \text{atoms } \mathfrak{F}} \right\}$ .

$\square$

**PROPOSITION 2253.** Let  $g$  be a reloid and  $f = (\text{FCD})g$  and  $f = f \circ f^{-1}$ . Then  $\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle * 1^{\text{RLD}} \supseteq g$ .

PROOF.  $\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle * 1^{\text{RLD}} \not\approx^{\uparrow \text{RLD}} Y \Leftrightarrow \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} 1^{\text{RLD}} [f \times^{(A)} f] \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} Y \Leftrightarrow \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} 1^{\text{RLD}} [f \times^{(C)} f] \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} Y \Leftrightarrow f \circ \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} 1^{\text{RLD}} \circ f^{-1} \not\approx^{\uparrow \text{FCD}} Y \Leftrightarrow f \circ f^{-1} \not\approx^{\uparrow \text{FCD}} Y \Leftrightarrow f \not\approx^{\uparrow \text{FCD}} Y \Leftrightarrow f \sqcap \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} Y \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}}(f \sqcap \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} Y) \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} f \sqcap (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} Y \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} f \sqcap (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} Y \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} f \sqcap \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} Y \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} (\text{FCD})g \sqcap \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} Y \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow g \sqcap \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} Y \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow g \not\approx^{\uparrow \text{RLD}} Y$ .  $\square$



PROPOSITION 2254. Let  $g$  be a reloid and  $f = (\text{FCD})g$  and  $f = f \circ f^{-1}$ . Then  $\langle f \times^{\text{in}} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}} \supseteq g$ .

PROOF.  $\langle f \times^{\text{in}} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}} = \langle (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} f \circ^{(C)} (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}} = (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} f \circ 1^{\text{RLD}} \circ (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} f^{-1} = (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} f \circ (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} f^{-1} = (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} (f \circ f^{-1}) = (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} f = (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} (\text{FCD})g \supseteq g$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 2255.  $\text{Cor}\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* g \sqsubseteq 1^{\text{RLD}}$  if  $(\text{FCD})g = f$  for a  $T_1$ -separable reloid  $g$ .

**1.1. Propositions from [2] which do not hold for our products.** In this subsection I present counter-examples against modified propositions from [2] in which I replace Tychonoff product with our subatomic or cross-inner products.

TODO: Consider as a counter-example the non-transitive compact funcoid  $\left\{ \frac{(x,y)}{x,y \in [0;1], |x-y| < \frac{1}{3}} \right\}$ .

EXAMPLE 2256.  $\langle 1^{\text{Rel}} \times^{(A)} 1^{\text{Rel}} \rangle^* 1^{\text{Rel}} \sqsubset 1^{\text{Rel}}$ .

PROOF.  $\langle 1^{\text{Rel}} \times^{(A)} 1^{\text{Rel}} \rangle^* 1^{\text{Rel}} = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{atoms } 1^{\text{Rel}}} \langle 1^{\text{Rel}} \times^{(A)} 1^{\text{Rel}} \rangle^* x = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{atoms } 1^{\text{Rel}}} \left( \langle 1^{\text{Rel}} \rangle^* \text{dom } x \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle 1^{\text{Rel}} \rangle^* \text{im } x \right) = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{atoms } 1^{\text{Rel}}} (\text{dom } x \times^{\text{RLD}} \text{im } x) = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{atoms } \mathcal{F}} (x \times^{\text{RLD}} x) \sqsubset 1^{\text{Rel}}$ .  $\square$

Statement 2 on page 172 of [2] does not survive modification:

EXAMPLE 2257.

- 1°. There is a funcoid  $f$  and  $V \in \text{up } f$  such that  $V \circ M \circ V^{-1} \notin \text{up}\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* M$ .
- 2°.  $\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* M \sqsubset g \circ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} M \circ g^{-1}$  for some reloid  $g$ , binary relation  $M$  and the funcoid  $f = (\text{FCD})g$ .

PROOF.

- 1°. Take  $f = M = V = 1^{\text{Rel}}$  and use the example above.
- 2°. Take  $f = g = M = 1^{\text{Rel}}$  and use the example above.

$\square$

COROLLARY 2258.  $\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* M \sqsubseteq \langle f \times^{(C)} f \rangle^* M$ .

COROLLARY 2259.  $V \circ V^{-1} \in \text{up}\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}}$ ;  $f \circ f^{-1} \supseteq \langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}}$ .

PROOF. ??  $\square$

REMARK 2260. I attempted to generalize the below theorem more than the standard general topology theorem about correspondence of compact and uniform spaces, but haven't really succeeded much, as it appears to be needed that the reloid in question is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, that is just a uniform space as in the standard general topology.

Does the reverse inequality hold, that is  $g \supseteq \langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}}$  and/or  $g \supseteq \langle f \times^{\text{in}} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}}$  (for compact  $f = (\text{FCD})g$ )?

THEOREM 2261.  $g \sqsubseteq \langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}}$  for compact  $f = (\text{FCD})g$ . (We have already proved this in an easier way, and not only for compact funcoids.)

Suppose there is  $U \in \text{up}\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}}$  such that  $U \notin \text{up } g$ .

Then  $\left\{ \frac{V \setminus U}{V \in \text{up } g} \right\} = g \setminus U$  would be a proper filter.

Thus by reflexivity  $\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* (g \setminus U) \neq \perp$ .

By compactness of  $f \times^{(A)} f$ ,  $\text{Cor}\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* (g \setminus U) \neq \perp$ .

Suppose  $\uparrow \{(x, x)\} \sqsubseteq \langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^*(g \setminus U)$ ; then  $g \setminus U \not\sqsubseteq \langle f^{-1} \times^{(A)} f^{-1} \rangle \{(x, x)\}$ ;  $U \sqsubseteq \langle f^{-1} \times^{(A)} f^{-1} \rangle \{(x, x)\} \sqsubseteq \langle f^{-1} \times^{(A)} f^{-1} \rangle 1^{\text{RLD}}$  what is impossible.

Thus there exist  $x \neq y$  such that  $\{(x, y)\} \sqsubseteq \text{Cor} \langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^*(g \setminus U)$ . Thus  $\{(x, y)\} \sqsubseteq \langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* g$ .

Thus by the lemma  $\{(x, y)\} \sqsubseteq 1^{\text{RLD}}$  what is impossible. So  $U \in \text{up } g$ .

We have  $\text{up} \langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}} \subseteq \text{up } g$ ;  $\langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}} \supseteq g$ .

**COROLLARY 2262.** Let  $f$  is a  $T_1$ -separable (the same as  $T_2$  for symmetric transitive) compact funcoid and  $g$  is a uniform space (reflexive, symmetric, and transitive endoreloid) such that  $(\text{FCD})g = f$ . Then  $g = \langle f \times^{(A)} f \rangle^* 1^{\text{RLD}}$ .

An (incomplete) attempt to prove one more theorem follows:

**THEOREM 2263.** Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be uniform spaces,  $(\text{FCD})\mu$  be a compact funcoid. Then a map  $f$  is a continuous map from  $(\text{FCD})\mu$  to  $(\text{FCD})\nu$  iff  $f$  is a (uniformly) continuous map from  $\mu$  to  $\nu$ .

**PROOF.** **FiXme: errors in this proof.**

<http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/665202/bourbaki-on-the-fact-that-continuous-function-on-a-compact-is-uniformly-continuo/670956?iemail=1&noredirect=1#670956>

We have  $\mu = \langle (\text{FCD})\mu \times (\text{FCD})\mu \rangle \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} 1^{\text{RLD}}$

$f \in C_?((\text{FCD})\mu, (\text{FCD})\nu)$ . Then

$$f \times^{(A)} f \in C_?((\text{FCD})(\mu \times^{(A)} \mu), (\text{FCD})(\nu \times^{(A)} \nu))$$

$$(f \times^{(A)} f) \circ (\text{FCD})(\mu \times^{(A)} \mu) \sqsubseteq (\text{FCD})(\nu \times^{(A)} \nu) \circ (f \times^{(A)} f)$$

For every  $V \in \text{up}(\nu \times^{(A)} \nu)$  we have  $\langle g^{-1} \rangle V \in \langle (\text{FCD})(\mu \times^{(A)} \mu) \rangle \{y\}$  for some  $y$ .

$$\langle g^{-1} \rangle V \in \langle (\text{FCD})\mu \times^{(A)} (\text{FCD})\mu \rangle \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} 1^{\text{RLD}} = \text{up } \mu$$

$$\langle g \rangle \langle g^{-1} \rangle V \sqsubseteq V$$

We need to prove  $f \in C(\mu, \nu)$  that is  $\forall p \in \text{up } \nu \exists q \in \text{up } \mu : \langle f \rangle q \sqsubseteq p$ . But this follows from the above.  $\square$

**FiXme: A space is compact if and only if it is both, complete and totally bounded.**

<http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1101995/non-symmetric-version-of-compact-totally-bounded-complete>

## Pointfree functors as a generalization of frames

I define an injection from the set of frames to the set of pointfree endo-functors.  
This article is a rough partial draft of a future longer writing.

### 1. Definitions

**1.1. Pointfree functor induced by a co-frame.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  is a co-frame.

We will define pointfree functor  $\uparrow \mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$  is a boolean lattice whose co-subframe  $\mathcal{L}$  is. (That this mapping exists follows from [3], page 53.) There may be probably more than one such mapping, but we just choose one  $\mathcal{B}$  arbitrarily.

Define  $\text{cl}(A) = \prod \{X \in \mathcal{L} \mid X \supseteq A\}$ .

Here  $\prod$  can be taken on either  $\mathcal{L}$  or  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$  as they are the same.

OBVIOUS 2264.  $\text{cl} \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}(A \sqcup B) &= \prod \{X \in \mathcal{L} \mid X \supseteq A \sqcup B\} = \prod \{X \in \mathcal{L} \mid X \supseteq A, X \supseteq B\} = \\ &= \prod \{X_1 \sqcup X_2 \mid X_1 \supseteq A, X_2 \supseteq B\} = \prod \{X_1 \mid X_1 \supseteq A\} \sqcup \prod \{X_2 \mid X_2 \supseteq B\} = \\ &= \text{cl } A \sqcup \text{cl } B. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{cl } 0 = 0$  is obvious.

Hence we are under conditions of the theorem 14.26 in my book.

So there exists a unique pointfree endo-functor  $\uparrow \mathcal{L} \in \text{FCD}(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})), \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})))$  such that

$$\langle \uparrow \mathcal{L} \rangle \mathcal{X} = \prod_{\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}))} \langle \text{cl} \rangle \text{up}^{(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})), \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})))} \mathcal{X}$$

for every filter  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}))$ .

**1.2. Co-frame induced by a pointfree functor.** The co-frame  $\downarrow f$  for some pointfree endo-functors  $f$  will be defined to be the reverse of  $\uparrow$ . See below for exact meaning of being reverse.

Let restore the co-frame  $\mathcal{L}$  from the pointfree functor  $\uparrow \mathcal{L}$ .

Let poset  $\downarrow f$  for every pointfree functor  $f$  is defined by the formula:

$$\downarrow f = \{X \in Z(\text{Ob } f) \mid \langle f \rangle X = X\}.$$

REMARK 2265. It seems that  $\downarrow$  is *not* a monovalued function from  $\text{pFCD}$  to  $\text{Ob}(\mathbf{Frm})$ .

**1.3. Isomorphism of co-frames through pointfree functors.**

REMARK 2266.  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})) = Z(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})))$  (theorem 4.137 in [5]).

THEOREM 2267.  $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \downarrow \uparrow \mathcal{L}$  (where  $\mathcal{L}$  ranges all small frames) is an order isomorphism.

PROOF. Let  $A' \in \downarrow \uparrow \mathcal{L}$ . Then there exists  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $A' = \uparrow^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})} A$ .

$\langle f \rangle A' = \uparrow^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})} \text{cl } A$ .

$\langle f \rangle A' = A'$  that is  $\uparrow^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})} \text{cl } A = A' = \uparrow^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})} A$ . So  $\text{cl } A = A$  and thus  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Let now  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then take  $A' = \uparrow^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})} A$ . We have  $\langle f \rangle A' = \text{cl } A = \uparrow^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})} A = A'$ . So  $A' \in \downarrow \uparrow \mathcal{L}$ .

We have proved that it is a bijection.

Because  $A$  and  $A'$  are related by the equation  $A' = \uparrow^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})} A$  it is obvious that this is an order embedding.  $\square$

## 2. Postface

Pointfree funcoids are a **massive** generalization of locales and frames: They don't only require the lattice of filters to be boolean but these can be even not lattices of filters at all but just arbitrary posets. I think a new era in pointfree topology starts.

Much work is yet needed to relate different properties of frames and locales with corresponding properties of pointfree funcoids.

## Singularities

Very rough draft.

### 1. Singularities functors: some special cases

We attempt to prove that  $\text{up } z$  is closed regarding finite intersections.

For consideration of this, let's consider two special cases (first of which is a specialization of the second).

Let  $\mu = \nu$  be the natural proximity on real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\Delta$  is the entourage filter of zero.

1.  $z = \Delta \times^{\text{FCD}} \Delta$ .

2.  $z = \nu \circ (\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f)|_{\Delta}$  for an arbitrary function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

(1) is [[also formulated in elementary terms|<http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/568513/is-a-set-closed-under-finite-intersections-about-filters>]] (without using functors).

These two above conjectures are shown to be false by a counter-example in [[[this blog post](http://portonmath.wordpress.com/2013/12/18/a-negative-result-on-a-conjecture/)]]. It is a discouraging result as it seems from it the plain functors can't be used for the multilevel theory of singularities.

### 2. Using plain functors

This way if we succeed is the best way to create metasingular numbers because, it (if we succeed) involves just functors not some fancy generalization of functors.

Approximate definition of "singularity level": `//Singularity level//` is a transitive,  $T_2$ -separable endofunctor.

Now define the functor  $\nu_{i+1} = \text{SLA}(\nu_i)$ :

$\text{Ob}(\nu_{i+1})$  is defined as the set of all generalized limits (having fixed  $\mu, \nu$ , and  $G$ ).

$X [\nu_{i+1}]^* Y \Leftrightarrow \exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup X, y \in \bigcup Y : x, y \sqsubseteq K$ .

The trouble is to prove that the functor  $\nu_{i+1}$  exists (is really a functor).

$\neg(X [\nu_{i+1}]^* \emptyset)$  and  $\neg(\emptyset [\nu_{i+1}]^* Y)$  are obvious. We need to prove

$$I \cup J [\nu_{i+1}]^* Y \Leftrightarrow I [\nu_{i+1}]^* Y \vee J [\nu_{i+1}]^* Y$$

and

$$X [\nu_{i+1}]^* I \cup J \Leftrightarrow X [\nu_{i+1}]^* I \vee X [\nu_{i+1}]^* J.$$

Let's attempt to prove the first of the above equations (the second is dual).

$I \cup J [\text{SLA}(\nu)]^* Y \Leftrightarrow$

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I \cup \bigcup J, y \in \bigcup Y : x, y \sqsubseteq K \Leftrightarrow$

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z : (\exists x \in \bigcup I \cup \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K \wedge \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K) \Leftrightarrow$

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I \cup \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K \wedge$

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K \Leftrightarrow$

??

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu : (\forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I : x \sqsubseteq K \vee$

$\forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K) \wedge \exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K \Leftrightarrow$

$(\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I : x \sqsubseteq K \vee$

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K) \wedge \exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq$

$$\begin{aligned}
& K \Leftrightarrow \\
& (\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I : x \sqsubseteq K \wedge \exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K) \vee \\
& (\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K \wedge \exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K) \Leftrightarrow \\
& (\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu : (\forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I : x \sqsubseteq K \wedge \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K)) \vee \\
& (\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu : (\forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K \wedge \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K)) \Leftrightarrow \\
& (\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu : (\forall K \in \text{up } z : (\exists x \in \bigcup I : x \sqsubseteq K \wedge \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K))) \vee \\
& \exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z : (\exists x \in \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K \wedge \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K) \Leftrightarrow \\
& I [\text{SLA}(\nu)]^* Y \vee J [\text{SLA}(\nu)]^* Y.
\end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof we need to fulfill ?? in the above formula. For this it's enough to prove

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I \cup \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K \Rightarrow \\
& \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I : x \sqsubseteq K \vee \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K. \\
& \text{If } z = \uparrow Z \text{ is a principal funcoid, then} \\
& \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I \cup \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K \Rightarrow \\
& \exists x \in \bigcup I \cup \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq z \Rightarrow \\
& \exists x \in \bigcup I : x \sqsubseteq z \vee \exists x \in \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq z \Rightarrow \\
& \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I : x \sqsubseteq K \vee \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K.
\end{aligned}$$

Following the idea of [[the proof in this [math.stackexchange.com question|http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/562908/an-implication-involving-filters#562974](http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/562908/an-implication-involving-filters#562974)]] it is easy to show that our implication is true if  $\text{up } z$  is closed regarding finite meets. See [[this page|Singularities funcoids: some special cases]] for attempts to set it true. The question is whether our statement holds for non-principal funcoids. Or is there a counterexample?

### 3. Singularities funcoids: special cases proof attempts

To prove that  $\text{GR}(\Delta \times^{\text{FCD}} \Delta)$  is closed under finite intersections, it's enough to prove that for every  $f \in \text{GR}(\Delta \times^{\text{FCD}} \Delta)$  there is a positive  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\forall x \in ]-\varepsilon; \varepsilon[ : fx \in \Delta$ .

Really, under this assumption:

For  $g \in \text{GR}(\Delta \times^{\text{FCD}} \Delta)$  exists  $\zeta > 0$  such that  $\forall x \in ]-\zeta; \zeta[ : gx \in \Delta$ . Let  $\eta = \min\{\varepsilon, \zeta\}$ . So  $\forall x \in ]-\eta; \eta[ : (\langle f \rangle x \in \Delta \wedge \langle g \rangle x \in \Delta)$  and so  $\forall x \in ]-\eta; \eta[ : \langle f \cap g \rangle x \in \Delta$  that is  $\forall x \in ]-\eta; \eta[ : \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} (f \cap g) \rangle^* \{x\} \sqsupseteq \Delta$  and consequently  $f \cap g \in \text{GR}(\Delta \times^{\text{FCD}} \Delta)$ .

TODO: not yet written

## Bibliography

- [1] Andreas Blass. Two closed categories of filters. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 94(2):129–143, 1977.
- [2] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Elements of Mathematics. General Topology. Part 1*. Addison-Wesley, 1966.
- [3] Peter T. Johnstone. *Stone Spaces*. Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [4] Victor Porton. Filters on posets and generalizations. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 74(1):55–119, 2012. <http://math.portonvictor.org/binaries/filters.pdf>.
- [5] Victor Porton. *Algebraic General Topology. Volume 1*. 2014.
- [6] Todd Trimble. <https://ncatlab.org/toddtrimble/published/topogeny>. nLab wiki. <https://ncatlab.org/toddtrimble/published/topogeny>.
- [7] Todd Trimble et al. Please help with a proof that a category is monoidal. nForum. <http://nforum.ncatlab.org/discussion/6765/please-help-with-a-proof-that-a-category-is-monoidal/>.